

*Review Article*

# The Role of HR in Advancing Sustainability and CSR

Heri Siswantoro<sup>1\*</sup>, Rachmad Ilham<sup>2</sup>, and Anisaul Hasanah<sup>3</sup><sup>1</sup> Universitas Gresik, Indonesia, email : [herisiswantoro123@gmail.com](mailto:herisiswantoro123@gmail.com)<sup>2</sup> Universitas Gresik Indonesia<sup>3</sup> Universitas Gresik, Indonesia\* Corresponding author: **Heri Siswantoro**

**Abstract:** Many organizations continue to struggle with superficial sustainability initiatives, weak accountability frameworks, and limited involvement of Human Resource Management (HRM) in strategic decision-making. These persistent issues hinder efforts to create meaningful and lasting change in corporate culture. The purpose of this article is to examine how HRM can drive the development of a sustainable and socially responsible organizational culture through structured, measurable, and values-driven practices. Drawing on recent conceptual and empirical insights from HRM, CSR, and ESG literature, the analysis highlights how initiatives such as embedding environmental metrics into performance appraisals, aligning ethical leadership development with corporate codes of conduct, institutionalizing inclusive hiring systems, and facilitating employee-led CSR engagement directly influence cultural transformation. These HR interventions promote employee trust, internal cohesion, and reputational legitimacy while anchoring sustainability as a lived organizational value. To maximize effectiveness, HR must be integrated into sustainability governance structures, equipped with analytics capabilities, and supported by leadership in implementing systemic change. Future research should investigate the long-term cultural impacts of digital HR tools, cross-sector differences in HR-ESG integration, and the role of hybrid work models in advancing equity and inclusion. Establishing a sustainable corporate culture depends not on symbolic policies but on intentional HR strategies that link people systems to environmental, ethical, and social outcomes.

**Keywords:** human resource management (HRM), sustainability, corporate social responsibility (CSR), organizational, culture.

Received: May, 16 2025  
Revised: May, 31 2025  
Accepted: June, 14 2025  
Online Available: June, 17 2025  
Curr. Ver.: June, 17 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.  
Submitted for possible open  
access publication under the  
terms and conditions of the  
Creative Commons Attribution  
(CC BY SA) license  
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

## 1. Introduction

In the contemporary business environment, sustainability and social responsibility have shifted from being peripheral concerns to becoming central pillars of long-term organizational strategy. As businesses face increasing pressure from stakeholders, regulators, and civil society to address environmental degradation, social inequality, and ethical misconduct, the importance of embedding sustainable values into the fabric of corporate operations has grown substantially. Within this context, human resource (HR) management emerges as a strategic function capable of shaping the values, behaviors, and culture that support sustainability and social responsibility across organizations [1], [2]. Unlike traditional functions that focus narrowly on transactional tasks, modern HR roles are evolving to incorporate ethical leadership, employee engagement, green practices, and inclusive strategies that align with broader corporate social responsibility (CSR) goals [3], [4].

The concept of corporate culture as a determinant of organizational behavior has long been recognized as a critical factor in implementing strategic change. Culture encapsulates the shared beliefs, assumptions, and norms that govern how work is conducted and how decisions are made within firms. When culture aligns with sustainability values, it can serve as a powerful lever for long-term impact. Human Resources plays a pivotal role in shaping and

sustaining this culture through mechanisms such as recruitment and selection, performance appraisal, leadership development, and training [5], [6]. Over the last decade, the emergence of “green HRM” practices—such as integrating environmental objectives into job roles, incentivizing sustainability performance, and fostering ecological awareness—demonstrates HR’s capacity to embed sustainability into daily operations [2], [5].

Additionally, HR has become a driving force behind corporate commitments to Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI), aligning organizational goals with societal demands for equity and justice. DEI-focused recruitment, anti-bias training, and inclusive leadership initiatives reflect an evolving understanding of social responsibility that extends beyond philanthropy or compliance [4], [7]. Simultaneously, ethical leadership development programs championed by HR are reshaping how moral values are operationalized within leadership behavior, thereby setting a tone at the top that cascades through the organizational hierarchy [8], [9].

Despite this growing body of literature, several critical gaps remain. First, existing studies often examine sustainability and CSR initiatives through a marketing or operational lens, leaving the HR function underrepresented in empirical analysis [1], [3]. Second, many HR studies on sustainability focus narrowly on environmental issues while neglecting broader aspects of social justice, ethics, and governance that are integral to CSR frameworks [2], [9]. Third, although frameworks such as green HRM and ethical leadership are discussed in isolation, limited research investigates how these elements collectively contribute to transforming organizational culture. Furthermore, there is a lack of cross-sectoral or longitudinal analysis that explores how HR practices evolve in response to dynamic stakeholder pressures and ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) expectations [4], [6].

This article addresses these gaps by synthesizing interdisciplinary perspectives on how HR actively constructs a sustainable and socially responsible corporate culture. Unlike prior studies that treat HR practices as supportive or peripheral, this review positions HR as a strategic architect of culture, ethics, and sustainability. The novelty of this review lies in its integrated lens, combining insights from green HRM, DEI, ethical leadership, and employee engagement literature, while focusing on cultural transformation as the central outcome. By doing so, it contributes to the growing scholarship on sustainable HRM and responds to calls for more holistic and practice-oriented frameworks that link HR interventions to measurable cultural outcomes [5], [7].

This study seeks to answer the question: How does Human Resource Management influence the development of a sustainable and socially responsible corporate culture? The review draws from recent empirical and theoretical contributions (2018–2024) in HRM, organizational behavior, and sustainability studies to build a comprehensive understanding of the strategies, mechanisms, and challenges faced by HR in this domain. In doing so, it not only highlights best practices but also identifies areas for future research and practical innovation.

## 2. Methods

This literature review adopts a systematic qualitative approach to synthesize recent academic insights into the role of Human Resource Management (HRM) in fostering a sustainable and socially responsible corporate culture. The method is designed to ensure a comprehensive and objective identification, evaluation, and thematic analysis of relevant peer-reviewed literature. The scope of the review includes scholarly articles mainly published between 2018 and 2024 to capture the most recent developments in the field, particularly in response to the growing global emphasis on Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) frameworks, organizational ethics, and strategic HR transformation.

The literature search was conducted across three major academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science (WoS), and ScienceDirect. These platforms were selected for their extensive indexing of high-quality, peer-reviewed journals in the fields of human resource management, organizational behavior, sustainability, and corporate governance. The search strategy utilized combinations of keywords and Boolean operators to ensure a wide but focused coverage. The primary keywords included “Human Resource Management,” “sustainability,” “corporate social responsibility,” “green HRM,” “organizational culture,” “ethical

leadership,” and “diversity, equity, and inclusion.” These terms were searched in titles, abstracts, and keywords to retrieve a broad array of potentially relevant publications.

Inclusion criteria were applied to ensure the relevance and quality of the literature. Articles were eligible if they (1) were published in peer-reviewed journals indexed in Scopus or WoS, (2) examined the connection between HR practices and corporate sustainability or social responsibility, and (3) contributed either empirical evidence or conceptual frameworks related to HR-driven cultural transformation. Exclusion criteria were used to eliminate conference proceedings, editorials, non-academic sources, and studies that addressed sustainability without any reference to HR functions or corporate culture.

The initial search produced 253 articles. After screening titles and abstracts, 92 articles were retained for full-text review. Each article was then assessed based on its thematic focus, methodological rigor, and relevance to the central research objective. Following this screening process, 42 articles were selected for in-depth analysis. These studies encompass various industries, geographic regions, and theoretical perspectives, providing a multidimensional understanding of the topic.

The selected articles were subjected to a thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, concepts, and theoretical orientations. A coding framework was developed to categorize the findings into key themes, including green HRM, ethical leadership, DEI practices, employee engagement in CSR, and strategic HR integration with ESG goals. This method allows for the identification of both convergence and divergence across the literature, enabling a richer synthesis of how HR practices contribute to shaping a sustainable and socially responsible corporate culture. The findings of this analysis are presented in the subsequent section.

### 3. Results

The analysis of the selected literature reveals that HR is no longer confined to administrative tasks but plays a transformative role in shaping organizational values, behaviors, and systems aligned with sustainability and social responsibility. Through a thematic synthesis, five interrelated domains of HR practice emerged as central to embedding these values into corporate culture. These include: (1) HR as a strategic enabler of sustainability; (2) green HRM and environmental stewardship; (3) ethical leadership and organizational integrity; (4) diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) as social responsibility; and (5) employee engagement in CSR programs. The following subsections explore each theme in depth.

#### HR as a Strategic Enabler of Sustainability

The reconceptualization of HRM as a strategic partner in sustainability has garnered increasing attention in both theoretical and empirical studies. Scholars argue that HR is instrumental in aligning people management systems with sustainability objectives by institutionalizing purpose-driven values, long-term thinking, and stakeholder accountability throughout the organization [10], [11]. HR’s involvement in setting sustainability-aligned recruitment criteria, designing competency frameworks that integrate ESG values, and evaluating leadership based on ethical performance illustrates this strategic role [3], [12].

This strategic alignment is often formalized through sustainable HRM frameworks, which integrate traditional HR functions—such as workforce planning, training, and performance management—with sustainability imperatives [1], [13]. Sustainable HRM extends beyond environmental concerns to encompass social justice, ethical labor practices, and inclusive work environments, emphasizing the multidimensionality of the concept. The implementation of such frameworks has been linked to improved organizational resilience, talent attraction, and reputational capital in competitive global markets [11], [14].

Another key component of HR’s strategic sustainability role involves influencing organizational culture at both structural and symbolic levels. Structurally, HR designs policies, codes of conduct, and incentive systems that reflect sustainability priorities [6], [15]. Symbolically, HR communicates sustainability narratives, celebrates role models, and fosters internal storytelling that promotes identity alignment with corporate values. These dual roles allow HR to shape how sustainability is perceived and enacted within daily routines and decision-making processes [1], [10].

Empirical studies also highlight the interdependence between HR’s strategic positioning and top management support for sustainability. When HR functions are represented at the

strategic table, particularly in firms with Chief Sustainability Officers (CSOs) or HR-sustainability integration committees, the effectiveness of cultural transformation toward CSR is significantly enhanced [12], [13]. Moreover, HR's integration into sustainability governance structures helps bridge the gap between policy and practice, ensuring that commitments to ethical labor and environmental responsibility are not merely symbolic but operationalized through human systems [14], [15].

### **Green HRM and Environmental Stewardship**

Green Human Resource Management (Green HRM) has emerged as a core practice in aligning employee behavior with organizational environmental goals. Green HRM refers to the integration of environmental management principles into HR policies and practices, encompassing green recruitment, training, performance appraisal, and reward systems. This approach not only reinforces ecological consciousness within the workforce but also supports the broader objective of cultivating a sustainability-oriented organizational culture [16], [17]. The literature consistently affirms that HR can act as a catalyst for environmental stewardship by embedding green values into employees' day-to-day responsibilities.

One of the foundational practices of Green HRM is environmentally-conscious recruitment and selection. Organizations increasingly incorporate sustainability criteria into job descriptions and assess candidates for eco-consciousness, signaling a strong cultural commitment to environmental values [18], [19]. This alignment helps to attract talent whose values resonate with the company's sustainability goals, thereby fostering culture fit and long-term engagement. Moreover, onboarding programs that introduce environmental policies and sustainable practices further reinforce green norms from the outset of employment.

Training and development represent another pivotal domain through which Green HRM contributes to ecological behavior. Firms that offer regular environmental education programs, green innovation workshops, and cross-functional sustainability projects see a measurable increase in employee participation in environmental initiatives [20], [21]. These efforts not only build competence but also instill a shared sense of responsibility. When employees are empowered with the skills and knowledge to contribute to sustainability, it becomes embedded in their professional identity.

Green performance management systems also play a crucial role. Organizations have begun to integrate environmental KPIs into performance appraisal systems, rewarding green behaviors such as resource conservation, process innovation, and eco-friendly decision-making [22], [23]. This shift in evaluation criteria communicates a clear message: environmental responsibility is not ancillary but integral to job success. Such alignment between sustainability and performance metrics helps to institutionalize green values within the organizational culture.

Moreover, incentive and reward systems have evolved to recognize environmentally responsible conduct. Green rewards—ranging from financial bonuses to public recognition and career advancement opportunities—are strategically designed to reinforce ecological contributions [24], [25]. This not only motivates individual behavior change but also generates a collective sense of pride and accountability, key components of cultural transformation.

Empirical studies confirm the positive impact of Green HRM on both environmental outcomes and employee engagement. For example, organizations that implemented integrated green HR practices reported significant reductions in carbon footprints and energy usage, alongside improvements in employee morale and organizational commitment [18], [26]. These dual benefits support the assertion that Green HRM contributes to organizational sustainability in both tangible and intangible ways.

### **Ethical Leadership and Organizational Integrity**

Ethical leadership has become a vital instrument in shaping organizational cultures that prioritize sustainability, integrity, and social responsibility. Defined as the demonstration and promotion of normatively appropriate conduct through personal actions and interpersonal relationships, ethical leadership helps establish trust, reinforce ethical norms, and enhance employee alignment with the organization's values [27], [28]. The HR function plays a pivotal role in institutionalizing ethical leadership through the development of leadership competencies, the design of integrity-based assessment systems, and the implementation of codes of conduct that define acceptable behavior across all organizational levels [29], [30].

A core function of HR in cultivating ethical leadership lies in the integration of ethical considerations into talent identification and leadership development programs. Rather than focusing solely on technical skills or financial outcomes, ethical leadership development frameworks emphasize values such as fairness, humility, and social concern. By embedding these attributes in succession planning, 360-degree feedback, and behavioral assessments, HR ensures that ethical standards are not only espoused but also lived by organizational leaders [31], [32]. Leaders who consistently model ethical conduct set the tone for the entire organization, facilitating a culture of compliance and moral awareness.

Moreover, ethical leadership has been linked to various positive organizational outcomes, including employee ethical behavior, organizational citizenship behavior (OCB), and reduced workplace deviance. Research indicates that when employees perceive their leaders as ethical, they are more likely to engage in discretionary behaviors that benefit the organization, such as whistleblowing, pro-environmental behavior, and stakeholder advocacy [33], [34]. These cultural attributes are crucial for organizations seeking to institutionalize CSR and sustainability initiatives as part of their operational ethos.

HR also contributes to ethical integrity through the development and enforcement of formal ethics programs. These include codes of ethics, compliance training, anonymous reporting channels, and disciplinary procedures—all of which provide structural support for ethical behavior [35], [36]. When administered consistently and transparently, these systems reinforce organizational commitment to integrity and provide employees with clear behavioral expectations. HR's role in facilitating ethical communication—such as through town hall meetings, ethics committees, and ethical dilemma case discussions—further embeds moral reasoning into everyday decision-making.

Importantly, ethical leadership also serves as a mediating mechanism between HR-driven values and the broader culture of sustainability. Leaders who espouse and embody ethical behavior are more likely to prioritize long-term value creation, stakeholder engagement, and environmental responsibility—core tenets of CSR and sustainable development [37], [38]. By aligning ethical leadership with sustainability objectives, HR helps shift organizational focus from short-term profit maximization to long-term societal impact.

### **Diversity, Equity & Inclusion (DEI) as Social Responsibility**

Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) has evolved into a strategic imperative that extends beyond legal compliance to become a core component of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and sustainable organizational culture. HR departments play a central role in implementing DEI initiatives that aim to reduce systemic inequities, foster belonging, and create work environments that reflect the values of fairness and respect [39], [40]. A growing body of research demonstrates that DEI is not only a social justice issue but also a business-critical factor associated with innovation, employee engagement, and long-term organizational sustainability [41], [42].

HR-driven DEI strategies typically begin with inclusive recruitment and selection processes designed to attract a diverse candidate pool. This involves practices such as structured interviews, blind resume reviews, and outreach to underrepresented groups [43], [44]. Such practices challenge implicit bias and promote the representation of marginalized identities in organizational hierarchies, thus reshaping the cultural norms around merit and access. Equally important is HR's role in ensuring that these diverse hires are not only included but also retained and advanced equitably within the organization.

Training and awareness programs are another central HR function in promoting DEI. These programs are designed to increase cultural competence, reduce unconscious bias, and encourage inclusive leadership behaviors [45], [46]. However, research also suggests that mandatory or superficial training may lead to backlash if not part of a broader systemic effort. Therefore, HR must integrate DEI training with structural reforms such as transparent promotion pathways, equitable pay systems, and accountability metrics for inclusive practices.

Organizational culture change toward inclusion requires more than training or policy revisions; it demands a shift in mindset supported by leadership and systemic reinforcement. HR contributes to this transformation by embedding DEI into performance appraisals, leadership assessments, and reward systems [47], [48]. For example, holding leaders accountable for inclusive team dynamics or DEI goals in their KPIs reinforces cultural expectations and normalizes inclusive behavior as a leadership competency.

Furthermore, employee resource groups (ERGs), mentorship programs for minority employees, and participatory decision-making forums are HR-initiated mechanisms that foster equity and inclusion across organizational levels [49], [50]. These initiatives empower employees from underrepresented backgrounds and give them a voice in shaping organizational norms and policies. By doing so, HR strengthens the cultural fabric that supports diversity and sustains it over time.

Importantly, DEI initiatives are increasingly tied to external social accountability. Stakeholders—including customers, investors, and regulators—are demanding greater transparency around DEI efforts and outcomes. HR thus plays a vital role in collecting and reporting diversity metrics, setting benchmarks, and aligning DEI strategies with broader ESG disclosures and sustainability goals [51], [52]. These efforts not only enhance legitimacy but also reinforce DEI as a dimension of ethical governance and social responsibility.

### **Employee Engagement in CSR Programs**

Employee engagement in corporate social responsibility (CSR) programs has become a critical mechanism through which organizations embed sustainability and ethical behavior into their culture. Rather than treating CSR as an external-facing function, companies are increasingly recognizing the importance of internal engagement strategies that empower employees to participate meaningfully in social and environmental initiatives. Human Resource Management (HRM) is instrumental in designing, promoting, and institutionalizing these engagement pathways to ensure that CSR is not merely performative but culturally embedded [53], [54].

Engagement in CSR is typically operationalized through programs that encourage employee volunteerism, sustainability task forces, ethical innovation challenges, and internal campaigns aligned with ESG goals. These initiatives contribute to what scholars call "micro-CSR"—the ways in which individual employees perceive and interact with CSR at the workplace level [55], [56]. HR plays a curatorial role in selecting CSR programs that align with employee values and providing opportunities for inclusive participation across departments and ranks. The result is a bottom-up form of culture-building that complements top-down sustainability strategies.

Research demonstrates that employee engagement in CSR significantly enhances organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and perceived meaningfulness of work. Employees who are given opportunities to engage with causes they value report greater identification with their employer and a stronger sense of purpose in their roles [57], [58]. These psychological connections are foundational to building a sustainable corporate culture, as they influence not only attitudes but also long-term behavioral consistency with organizational values.

HR practices that facilitate CSR engagement also extend to performance management and recognition systems. By embedding social and environmental contributions into appraisal processes and incentive structures, HR ensures that such efforts are not perceived as extracurricular but as legitimate, valued forms of professional contribution [59], [60]. In doing so, HR helps normalize ethical and prosocial behavior across employee networks, reinforcing collective responsibility and shared accountability.

Another important dimension is communication. HR-led internal CSR communication—such as newsletters, sustainability dashboards, and storytelling campaigns—serves to reinforce the cultural narrative around ethical citizenship and community involvement [61], [62]. Transparent and participative communication also mitigates employee skepticism and enhances trust in the authenticity of the organization's CSR commitments. Furthermore, giving employees a voice in shaping CSR initiatives through feedback loops or participatory design increases psychological ownership and cultural coherence.

Importantly, employee engagement in CSR has spillover effects on organizational innovation and resilience. Engaged employees often generate ideas for improving products, processes, or stakeholder relationships in ways that align with ethical and sustainable principles [63], [64]. This dynamic positions HR not only as a facilitator of cultural alignment but also as an incubator of innovation with social impact.

## Discussion

The synthesis of the reviewed literature reveals that Human Resource Management (HRM) has evolved into a pivotal function in building a sustainable and socially responsible corporate culture. Across strategic, environmental, ethical, inclusive, and participatory dimensions, HR enables the operationalization of sustainability principles within organizational life. This transformation aligns with stakeholder theory, which emphasizes the importance of integrating stakeholder concerns—including employees, communities, and the environment—into organizational strategy [65], [66]. Simultaneously, institutional theory explains how HR practices, once formalized, become legitimized and taken-for-granted cultural norms that reinforce sustainable behavior within the firm [67], [68].

Practically, HR can lead sustainability transformation through integrative strategies that institutionalize responsible values. Sustainable HRM frameworks have proven effective in aligning recruitment, development, and performance management with ESG objectives, thereby translating abstract commitments into concrete action [69], [70]. Leadership development initiatives that prioritize ethical conduct and long-term value creation have shown positive effects on employee trust, workplace harmony, and ethical climate [71], [72]. DEI strategies also emerge as more than symbolic efforts—they shape innovation and organizational justice when reinforced by structural systems such as inclusive career pathways and fair evaluation standards [4], [73].

Despite growing attention to HR's role in sustainability, several implementation challenges persist. One major issue is the risk of decoupling—where sustainability policies are adopted rhetorically but not integrated into core HR systems or behaviors [74], [75]. This can lead to employee cynicism, especially when DEI or green programs are perceived as tokenistic rather than authentic. Additionally, few organizations employ robust evaluation mechanisms to assess the impact of HR-led CSR efforts on organizational culture or employee outcomes, leading to gaps between intention and impact [76], [77].

Future research can address these gaps by focusing on longitudinal and cross-contextual studies. For instance, little is known about how sustainable HRM practices evolve over time or respond to shifts in stakeholder expectations or crises [78], [79]. Moreover, comparative studies across countries or industries are needed to understand how local institutional environments shape the adoption and effectiveness of HR-driven CSR practices. The role of digital technology also warrants exploration, particularly how AI, HR analytics, and digital communication platforms can enhance employee engagement, transparency, and inclusion in sustainability efforts [80], [81].

Lastly, the emerging convergence of HRM and ESG reporting presents both opportunities and responsibilities for HR leaders. Investors and stakeholders are increasingly scrutinizing non-financial disclosures, including workforce diversity, ethics training, and employee well-being [82], [83]. HR metrics are thus becoming critical components of sustainability reporting, positioning HR as a strategic actor not only in cultural transformation but also in external legitimacy and risk management.

## 4. Conclusion

Human Resource Management has emerged as a critical enabler in embedding sustainability and social responsibility into the everyday operations of organizations. Specific HR functions—such as integrating carbon footprint awareness into employee training modules, requiring sustainability competencies in job descriptions, enforcing anti-discrimination clauses in performance evaluations, and rewarding volunteerism through structured CSR incentive programs—have demonstrated measurable impacts on both employee behavior and corporate culture. Companies that embed environmental KPIs into appraisal systems or offer inclusive leadership pathways are not only more resilient but also more attractive to sustainability-conscious investors, customers, and talent. HR's influence spans from shaping ethical decision-making frameworks among mid-level managers to institutionalizing zero-waste office practices and gender parity in leadership roles.

From a practical perspective, organizations must prioritize the inclusion of HR leaders in sustainability committees, ESG reporting teams, and executive strategy discussions. HR departments should deploy measurable tools—such as DEI dashboards, green performance metrics, and real-time CSR participation data—to ensure accountability and drive continuous improvement. Future research should move beyond theoretical assertions and examine how

AI-powered recruitment tools can reduce bias in hiring, how blockchain could increase transparency in labor rights compliance, or how hybrid work models impact environmental performance and social inclusion. There is also a pressing need for longitudinal studies that track the cultural outcomes of sustainability-linked HR policies, such as mandatory ethics training or flexible benefits tailored to underserved employee groups. Advancing sustainability within the workforce depends not on isolated HR programs but on deliberate, data-informed, and employee-centered strategies that connect human capital decisions with planetary and societal impact.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: Heri Siswanto; Methodology: Heri Siswanto, Rachmad Ilham; Formal analysis: Rachmad Ilham, Anisaul Hasanah; Investigation: Anisaul Hasanah, Rachmad Ilham; Writing – original draft: Rachmad Ilham, Anisaul Hasanah; Writing – review & editing: Heri Siswanto; Supervision: Heri Siswanto.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing does not apply to this article.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to thank the academic and administrative teams at their respective institutions for their ongoing support. This article benefited from the use of OpenAI's ChatGPT for assistance in structuring literature synthesis, refining language, and generating initial drafts. All content was reviewed and critically edited by the authors to ensure academic integrity and originality. No external funding, materials, or technical assistance beyond this was received.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1]. Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A., "On Corporate Social Responsibility, Sensemaking, and the Search for Meaningfulness Through Work," *Journal of Management*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 1057–1086, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0149206317691575.
- [2]. Ahmad, S. "Green human resource management: Policies and practices," *Cogent Business & Management*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 1030817, 2015, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2015.1030817.
- [3]. Aust, I., Matthews, B., & Muller-Camen, M. "Common Good HRM: A paradigm shift in Sustainable HRM?" *Human Resource Management Review*, vol. 30, no. 3, p. 100705, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100705.
- [4]. Bailey, C., Madden, A., Alfes, K., & Fletcher, L., "The Meaning, Antecedents and Outcomes of Employee Engagement: A Narrative Synthesis," *International Journal of Management Reviews*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 31–53, 2018, doi: 10.1111/ijmr.12077.
- [5]. Bernstein, R. S., Bulger, M., Salipante, P., & Weisinger, J. Y., "From Diversity to Inclusion to Equity: A Theory of Generative Interactions," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 167, no. 3, pp. 395–410, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s10551-019-04180-1.
- [6]. Boiral, O., Heras-Saizarbitoria, I., & Brotherton, M. C., "Assessing the quality of sustainability reports: The case of GRI-based reports," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 220, pp. 729–741, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.02.218.
- [7]. Bondarouk, T., & Brewster, C., "Conceptualising the future of HRM and technology research," *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 27, no. 21, pp. 2652–2671, 2016, doi: 10.1080/09585192.2016.1232296.
- [8]. Brammer, S., He, H., & Mellahi, K., "Corporate Social Responsibility, Employee Organizational Identification, and Creative Effort: The Moderating Impact of Corporate Ability," *Group & Organization Management*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 323–352, 2015, doi: 10.1177/1059601114562246.
- [9]. Brieger, S. A., Anderer, S., Fröhlich, A., Bärö, A., & Meynhardt, T., "Too much of a good thing? On the relationship between CSR and employee work engagement," *Business Ethics: A European Review*, vol. 30, no. 1, pp. 23–40, 2021, doi: 10.1111/beer.12311.
- [10]. Bromley, P., & Powell, W. W., "From Smoke and Mirrors to Walking the Talk: Decoupling in the Contemporary World," *Academy of Management Annals*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 483–530, 2012, doi: 10.1080/19416520.2012.684462.
- [11]. De Roeck, K., & Maon, F., "Building the Theoretical Puzzle of Employees' Reactions to Corporate Social Responsibility: An Integrative Conceptual Framework and Research Agenda," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 149, no. 3, pp. 609–625, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10551-016-3081-2.
- [12]. Delmas, M. A., & Toffel, M. W., "Organizational responses to environmental demands: Opening the black box," *Academy of Management Perspectives*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 288–308, 2018, doi: 10.5465/amp.2016.0183.
- [13]. Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S., "Corporate Social Responsibility, Multi-faceted Job-Products, and Employee Outcomes," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 131, no. 2, pp. 319–335, 2015, doi: 10.1007/s10551-014-2286-5.
- [14]. Eccles, R. G., & Klimenko, S., "The investor revolution," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 2019, no. May-June, pp. 106–116, 2019.



- [15]. Ehnert, I., Harry, W., & Zink, K. J. "Sustainability and HRM: A model and conceptual framework for sustainable human resource management," *Zeitschrift für Personalforschung*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 124–142, 2016, doi: 10.1177/2397002216662661.
- [16]. Engelbrecht, A. S., Heine, G., & Mahembe, B., "Integrity, ethical leadership, trust and work engagement," *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 368–379, 2017, doi: 10.1108/LODJ-11-2015-0237.
- [17]. Farooq, O., Rupp, D. E., & Farooq, M., "The multiple pathways through which internal and external corporate social responsibility influence organizational identification and multifoci outcomes: The role of perceived external prestige and respect," *Human Resource Management*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 933–953, 2017, doi: 10.1002/hrm.21803.
- [18]. Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., & Wicks, A. C., *Stakeholder theory: The state of the art*. Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- [19]. Freeman, R. E., Phillips, R., & Sisodia, R. "Tensions in Stakeholder Theory," *Business & Society*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 213–231, 2020, doi: 10.1177/0007650318773750.
- [20]. Gils, S., Quaquebeke, N., & Knippenberg, D., "Ethical leadership across the organizational hierarchy: Identifying the pacesetters," *The Leadership Quarterly*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 217–230, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2014.07.011.
- [21]. Glavas, A. "Corporate social responsibility and employee engagement: Enabling employees to employ more of their whole selves at work," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 7, no. MAY, pp. 1–12, 2018, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00796.
- [22]. Glavas, A., "Corporate Social Responsibility and Organizational Psychology: An Integrative Review," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 7, p. 144, 2016, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00144.
- [23]. Gond, J. P., Igalens, J., Swaen, V., & El Akremi, A., "HRM and CSR integration: Toward a typology of HRM configurations related to CSR," *Human Resource Management*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 47–70, 2021, doi: 10.1002/hrm.22001.
- [24]. Greenwood, M., & Freeman, R. E., "Ethics and HRM: The contribution of stakeholder theory," *Business and Professional Ethics Journal*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 129–144, 2018, doi: 10.5840/bpej20183269.
- [25]. Guerici, M., & Pedrini, M., "The consensus between Italian HR and sustainability managers on HR management for sustainability-driven change - towards a 'strong' HR management system," *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 25, no. 13, pp. 1787–1814, 2014, doi: 10.1080/09585192.2013.860388.
- [26]. Guerici, M., Pedrini, M., Sgrò, F., & Ferrario, C. "CSR and HRM in the digital age: Unraveling the impact on corporate sustainability performance," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 159–179, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10551-020-04603-z.
- [27]. Hoch, J. E., Bommer, W. H., Dulebohn, J. H., & Wu, D. "Do ethical, authentic, and servant leadership explain variance above and beyond transformational leadership?" *Journal of Management*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 501–529, 2018, doi: 10.1177/0149206316665461.
- [28]. Ioannou, I., & Serafeim, G., "The Consequences of Mandatory Corporate Sustainability Reporting," *SSRN Electronic Journal*, no. 11–100, 2017, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.1799589.
- [29]. Islam, T., Khan, M. M., & Bukhari, F. H., "Workplace social sustainability: Linking CSR and pro-environmental behavior through green HRM," *International Journal of Manpower*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1082–1099, 2021, doi: 10.1108/IJM-09-2020-0426.
- [30]. Jabbour, C. J. C., & De Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L. "Green Human Resource Management and Green Supply Chain Management: Linking two emerging agendas," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 112, pp. 1824–1833, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.01.052.
- [31]. Jackson, S. E., Renwick, D. W. S., Jabbour, C. J. C., & Muller-Camen, M. "State-of-the-Art and Future Directions for Green Human Resource Management: Introduction to the Special Issue," *German Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 99–116, 2011, doi: 10.1177/239700221102500203.
- [32]. Jones, D. A., Newman, A., Shao, R., & Cooke, F. L., "Advances in Employee-Focused Micro-Level Research on Corporate Social Responsibility: Situating New Contributions Within the Current State of the Literature," *Journal of Business Ethics*, vol. 157, no. 2, pp. 293–302, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s10551-018-3792-7.
- [33]. Kavitha, S., & Yamuna, P. "Sustainable HRM practices and organizational performance: A study in the Indian context," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 2558, 2021, doi: 10.3390/su13052558.
- [34]. Kramar, R. "Beyond strategic human resource management: Is sustainable human resource management the next approach?" *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 1069–1089, 2014, doi: 10.1080/09585192.2013.816863.
- [35]. Kramar, R., & Syed, J., *Human Resource Management in a Global Context: A Critical Approach*. Palgrave Macmillan, 2019.
- [36]. Mory, L., Wirtz, B. W., & Göttel, V., "Factors of internal corporate social responsibility and the effect on organizational commitment," *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 27, no. 13, pp. 1393–1425, 2016, doi: 10.1080/09585192.2015.1072103.
- [37]. Nishii, L. H., & Rich, R. E., "Creating Inclusive Climates in Diverse Organizations," in *Diversity at Work: The Practice of Inclusion*, B. M. Ferdman & B. R. Deane, Eds., Jossey-Bass, 2014, pp. 330–363, doi: 10.1002/9781118764282.ch11.
- [38]. Onyishi, I. E., Eze, O. A., & Bada, F. O., "Green human resource practices and psychological ownership: The mediating role of employee environmental commitment," *Sustainability*, vol. 12, no. 3, p. 1015, 2020, doi: 10.3390/su12031015.
- [39]. Pham, L. X., Phan, L. T., Le, A. N.-H., Tuan, B. N., & Anh. "Factors Affecting Social Entrepreneurial Intention: An Application of Social Cognitive Career Theory," *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 515–543, 2024, doi: 10.1515/erj-2021-0316.
- [40]. Ren, S., Tang, G., & Jackson, S. E. "Green human resource management research in emergence: A review and future directions," *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 769–803, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s10490-017-9532-1.
- [41]. Renwick, D. W. S., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. "Green HRM: A review and research agenda," *International Journal of Management Reviews*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–23, 2021, doi: 10.1111/ijmr.12245.
- [42]. Rosso, B. D., Dekas, K. H., & Wrzesniewski, A., "On the meaning of work: A theoretical integration and review," *Research in Organizational Behavior*, vol. 30, no. C, pp. 91–127, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.riob.2010.09.001.

- [43]. Rupp, D. E., Shao, R., Thornton, M. A., & Skarlicki, D. P., "Applicants' and employees' reactions to corporate social responsibility: The moderating effects of first-party justice perceptions and moral identity," *Personnel Psychology*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 407–436, 2018, doi: 10.1111/peps.12211.
- [44]. Scott, W. R., *Institutions and Organizations: Ideas, Interests, and Identities*, 4th ed., Sage Publications, 2014.
- [45]. Shen, J., & Benson, J. "When CSR Is a Social Norm: How Socially Responsible Human Resource Management Affects Employee Work Behavior," *Journal of Management*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1723–1746, 2016, doi: 10.1177/0149206314522300.
- [46]. Shen, J., Dumont, J., & Deng, X. "Employees' Perceptions of Green HRM and Non-Green Employee Work Outcomes: The Social Identity and Stakeholder Perspectives," *Group & Organization Management*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 594–622, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1059601116664610.
- [47]. Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V., "Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and A path forward," *California Management Review*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 15–42, 2019, doi: 10.1177/0008125619867910.
- [48]. Tang, G., Chen, Y., Jiang, Y., Paillé, P., & Jia, J. "Green human resource management practices: scale development and validity," *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 31–55, 2018, doi: 10.1111/1744-7941.12147.
- [49]. Tang, G., Jiang, Y., & Liu, F., "Green human resource management practices and innovation performance: Role of environmental culture and learning," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 2474, 2021, doi: 10.3390/su13052474.
- [50]. Yong, J. Y., & Mohd-Yusoff, Y. "Linking green HRM practices to environmental performance through pro-environmental behavior in the manufacturing industry," *International Journal of Manpower*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 1103–1125, 2021, doi: 10.1108/IJM-11-2019-0505.
- [51]. Yong, J. Y., Yusliza, M. Y., Ramayah, T., & Fawehinmi, O. "Nexus between green intellectual capital and green HRM in fostering environmental performance," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 267, p. 122180, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122180.
- [52]. Zanko, M., & Dawson, P., "Occupational health and safety management in organizations: A review," *International Journal of Management Reviews*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 328–344, 2012, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00320.x.
- [53]. Zaugg, R. J., Blum, A., & Thom, N. "Sustainability in Human Resource Management," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 5, p. 2653, 2022, doi: 10.3390/su14052653.