BIJMT : Brilliant International Journal Of Management And Tourism

E-ISSN: 2827-8380
P-ISSN: 2810-076X

Research Articles

Exploring the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Consumer Behavior

Marwan Effendi [1]*, Alfi Fuadah [2], Mar'atush Sholihah [3]

[1] Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Manajemen Bisnis Indonesia, Indonesia ; Email : marwan.effendi@stiembi.ac.id
[2] Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Trenggalek, Indonesia ; Email : alfifuadah@itbtrenggalek.ac.id
[3] Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Trenggalek, Indonesia ; Email : Maratussholihah@itbtrenggalek.ac.id
* Corresponding Author : Marwan Effendi

Abstract: This study explores the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on consumer behavior within digital commerce environments. The rapid integration of AI technologies such as personalized recommendations, automated customer service, and algorithmic decision making has reshaped how consumers interact with brands, influencing both psychological and behavioral outcomes. However, existing models like the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) often overlook emotional, trust related, and contextual dynamics in AI mediated interactions. This research aims to fill these gaps by applying a qualitative, systematic literature review methodology, guided by the Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) framework. Through reflexive thematic analysis of academic sources published between 2020 and 2025, four key constructs were identified: AI personalization, consumer trust, emotional response, and technological readiness. Findings indicate that while AI driven personalization enhances engagement and purchase intention, its effectiveness depends on trust, emotional comfort, and individual readiness to adopt new technologies. The study proposes a conceptual model integrating these factors, highlighting that AI's success in shaping consumer behavior hinges on ethical design, transparency, and psychological alignment with user traits. These insights extend current theoretical understanding and offer practical implications for marketers and system designers. The study concludes that a consumer centric, emotionally intelligent approach to AI design is essential to achieving sustainable digital engagement and loyalty.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Consumer Behavior; Digital Marketing; Emotional Response; Personalization

1. Introduction

In recent years, consumer behavior has emerged as a dynamic and complex domain, particularly influenced by the rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies across various sectors. Consumer behavior refers to the psychological, social, and economic actions and decision making processes of individuals or groups when purchasing, using, or disposing of products and services [1]. With the proliferation of digital ecosystems and smart technologies, AI is reshaping how consumers interact with brands, make purchasing decisions, and evaluate service experiences. Understanding these behavioral transformations is crucial for companies seeking to build sustainable competitive advantages in digital markets [2]. The intersection of AI and consumer psychology offers rich potential for theoretical advancement and empirical investigation, particularly in exploring how cognitive and affective responses to AI mediated interactions influence consumer attitudes and behaviors [3]. This paper aims to extend this discourse by examining key AI enabled features and their effects on consumer behavior within a digital commerce environment.

Received: May, 30 2025
Revised: June, 14 2025
Accepted: June, 28 2025
Published: June, 30 2025
Curr. Ver.: June, 30 2025

Recent literature has employed various methodologies to investigate the AI consumer behavior link. Traditional approaches, such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), and the Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) framework, have been extensively utilized [4], [5]. While these models provide foundational insights into consumer technology adoption, they often lack nuanced understanding of the emotional, trust related, and contextual factors that AI introduces into the customer journey [6]. For instance, TAM focuses predominantly on perceived usefulness and ease of use, often neglecting how algorithmic decision making or AI based personalization affects trust and satisfaction [7]. Similarly, UTAUT has limited applicability in modeling dynamic, real time AI interactions where consumer behavior may evolve based on iterative engagement with AI systems [8]. To address these gaps, this study integrates both classical and contemporary theoretical lenses to better capture AI's holistic impact on consumer psychology.

At the heart of this research is the recognition that AI based personalization represents a significant transformation in marketing practice. AI personalization refers to the use of algorithms and machine learning to tailor content, recommendations, and user interfaces to individual preferences in real time [9]. This capability enhances user experience by increasing perceived relevance and engagement, which in turn, can positively influence purchasing intent and brand loyalty [10]. Recent studies have shown that consumers are more likely to respond to personalized recommendations delivered through AI interfaces than generic suggestions [11]. However, this personalization must be perceived as accurate, non intrusive, and ethically designed, or it may trigger negative reactions, such as psychological reactance or privacy concerns [12]. Therefore, the perceived value and integrity of AI based personalization critically shape behavioral outcomes.

In addition to personalization, AI driven customer service is another important determinant influencing consumer behavior. Chatbots, virtual assistants, and automated support systems enable companies to provide 24/7 assistance, efficient problem resolution, and cost effective service scalability [13]. The effectiveness of these AI systems depends on the ability to simulate human like interaction, understand emotional cues, and resolve issues competently [14]. Consumer responses to AI based service are shaped by both functional and emotional evaluations, including speed, convenience, empathy, and perceived authenticity [15]. If these services are perceived as robotic, lacking empathy, or unable to handle complex requests, they may reduce customer satisfaction and weaken trust [16]. Thus, the quality of AI driven service interactions plays a mediating role in shaping consumer brand relationships.

Another critical factor is consumer trust in AI technologies. Trust represents the belief that the AI system will act in the user's best interest, be reliable, and behave ethically [17]. Trust is especially important in high involvement decisions, such as financial services,

healthcare, or product recommendations where algorithmic decisions have significant consequences [18]. Several studies indicate that perceived transparency, explainability, and fairness significantly influence consumer trust in AI systems [19]. When trust is compromised, even highly capable AI systems may fail to elicit intended consumer responses [20]. Therefore, trust functions as both a direct and indirect influencer of behavioral outcomes in AI-mediated contexts.

Perceived usefulness, derived from TAM and UTAUT frameworks, is a crucial mediating variable that helps explain how AI features influence behavior. When consumers perceive AI as useful enhancing their decision making, saving time, or offering superior convenience they are more inclined to accept its outputs and act upon them [21]. This perception amplifies the positive effects of personalization, service quality, and trust, thereby linking technological capabilities to psychological acceptance and behavior [22]. However, usefulness alone is insufficient without consumer readiness to engage with the technology, especially when unfamiliar or complex.

Consumer technological readiness defined as a consumer's propensity to embrace and use new technologies is proposed as a moderating factor in this study [23]. This readiness encompasses optimism, innovativeness, discomfort, and insecurity, which shape how consumers evaluate and respond to AI solutions [24]. High technological readiness enhances the likelihood of adoption and satisfaction, while low readiness may trigger skepticism, fear, or rejection [25]. Therefore, technological readiness moderates the strength and direction of relationships between AI variables and consumer behavior, emphasizing the need for segmentation and personalization strategies based on user profiles.

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into consumer facing services has drawn increasing scholarly attention, yet substantial gaps persist in understanding its psychological and contextual impacts on consumer behavior. While classical adoption models such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) [1], [2] have been instrumental in explaining general technology usage, they fail to address the unique trust related and emotional dynamics involved in AI mediated interactions. Recent studies have shown that factors like algorithmic transparency [3], perceived invasiveness in personalization [4], and emotional security [8] significantly influence how consumers engage with AI tools. However, these elements are often studied in isolation, lacking a unifying theoretical model that explains how emotional responses, trust, and personalization interact within real time AI engagements. Additionally, although consumer technological readiness defined as the tendency to embrace or resist new technologies [5], [6] has been acknowledged as influential, few studies have empirically tested its moderating role in shaping consumer attitudes and behaviors toward specific AI features like personalization accuracy, empathy simulation, or response transparency [7].

To address these gaps, this study proposes a novel integrative framework that combines AI design features with psychological constructs of trust, emotion, and readiness, applying them within the Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) paradigm. This dual lens approach not only expands theoretical boundaries but also enables the identification of differential effects across consumer segments. By incorporating technological readiness as a moderator, the model captures how individual traits influence the effectiveness of AI driven personalization and service strategies providing marketers and system designers with actionable insights into when and for whom AI works best. This contribution bridges the current disconnect between technical implementations and user psychology, offering a more holistic understanding of consumer AI interactions in digital commerce environments. Thus, the research advances both conceptual theory and practical application in the domain of AI-enhanced consumer behavior.

2. Literature Review

This chapter reviews existing literature on artificial intelligence (AI) and its influence on consumer behavior. It examines prior studies on AI personalization, trust, emotional response, and technological readiness, while also evaluating the theoretical frameworks commonly used in this domain. Through critical analysis of these works, the chapter identifies key research gaps and limitations in current models, particularly in capturing dynamic, psychological, and contextual consumer reactions to AI. The review serves as a foundation for developing a more integrated and comprehensive framework that addresses the complexities of consumer AI interaction in modern digital environments.

2.1. AI Powered Personalization and Consumer Response

Artificial intelligence driven personalization has received significant attention for its ability to enhance user engagement and consumer satisfaction. Zhang and Tsang [4] found that while personalization increases content relevance and user satisfaction, it may also trigger consumer resistance due to perceived intrusiveness. This phenomenon aligns with concerns over privacy, indicating that personalization must strike a balance between utility and user comfort. Li et al. [3] further explored how algorithmic transparency fosters trust, showing that when consumers understand how AI systems make decisions, they are more likely to perceive those systems as reliable and fair. Despite these insights, the majority of these studies have narrowly focused on direct effects of personalization, neglecting the potential influence of user traits or contextual moderators on the personalization behavior relationship.

Moreover, existing research often employs classical models like the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) [1], [2], which center on perceived usefulness and ease of use. These models offer foundational understanding but are limited in addressing real time, emotionally complex

interactions with AI interfaces. Few studies have proposed integrated frameworks that account for the psychological, emotional, and contextual dimensions involved in AI based customer engagement. Thus, this study responds to a critical need for a more comprehensive model that can encapsulate both the technological and psychological facets of consumer behavior in AI contexts.

2.2 Technological Readiness as a Moderating Construct

Technological readiness (TR) is a psychographic trait that reflects an individual's propensity to embrace and use new technologies. Parasuraman [5] introduced the Technology Readiness Index (TRI), which comprises optimism, innovativeness, discomfort, and insecurity. More recent research by Lin and Chang [6] indicates that TR not only influences technology adoption but also impacts satisfaction and trust after the interaction. Despite its relevance, TR has not been widely examined as a moderating variable in AI consumer interaction studies.

Existing studies tend to treat consumer readiness as a background variable rather than as an integral part of the interaction model. Karin et al. [8] argue that emotional security is a vital factor in AI service acceptance, suggesting the need to explore how TR interacts with AI features like personalization accuracy, algorithm transparency, and emotional empathy. This study addresses that gap by incorporating TR into the Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) framework, allowing for a deeper understanding of the contingent effects of AI on various consumer segments. In doing so, it bridges the gap between technological design and psychological user profiles, offering actionable insights for personalized marketing and ethical AI deployment.

2.3 Trust in AI Systems

Trust is a critical factor influencing consumer acceptance and continued use of AI based technologies, especially in contexts involving personal data and automated decision making. Research by Gefen and Straub [10] highlights that trust in e-commerce platforms can be significantly enhanced through perceived social presence and system consistency. Similarly, Li et al. [3] emphasize that algorithmic transparency a system's ability to explain its decisions directly influences user trust, particularly when recommendations or interactions affect consumer outcomes. Despite these findings, trust is still predominantly treated as a static outcome variable, rather than a dynamic construct that evolves over time and is shaped by the interplay of system features and user characteristics.

Furthermore, limited research explores trust as a mediating or moderating variable in consumer AI interaction models. Most current frameworks, including TAM and UTAUT [1], [2], do not fully incorporate the psychological and contextual dimensions of trust-building in AI systems. For example, the impact of emotional cues, prior experiences, or user readiness on trust formation remains underexplored. This creates a theoretical gap, particularly in

understanding how different consumer segments such as those with varying technological readiness respond to the same AI system in terms of perceived reliability and ethical comfort. Therefore, this study aims to position trust not only as a key outcome but also as an intermediary mechanism that bridges AI design features and consumer behavioral responses.

2.4 Emotional Response and Consumer AI Interaction

Emotional responses play a significant role in shaping consumer perceptions of AI systems, particularly in service environments where user experience depends on empathy, tone, and perceived authenticity. Karin et al. [8] introduced the concept of emotional security, arguing that consumers are more likely to engage with AI driven services when they feel emotionally supported and understood. However, emotional constructs such as empathy perception, cognitive comfort, and emotional overload remain underrepresented in existing models, which mostly prioritize functional evaluations like speed or accuracy. This limits the understanding of how AI interactions can either strengthen or disrupt the consumer brand relationship on an emotional level.

Additionally, AI systems that simulate human like behavior such as voice assistants and chatbots can trigger affective reactions that vary depending on the user's personality, expectations, and prior experiences. Despite this, the emotional dimension of AI remains loosely integrated into dominant frameworks like TAM or S-O-R. Few studies empirically test how emotional responses mediate or moderate the impact of AI features on trust, satisfaction, or loyalty. As consumer AI interactions become more frequent and immersive, especially in sectors like e-commerce or digital banking, the emotional dynamics of these interactions become increasingly important. Thus, there is a pressing need to incorporate emotional variables into holistic behavioral models to capture the full consumer experience in AI mediated environments.

2.5 Gaps in Theoretical Frameworks

Theoretical models traditionally used to study consumer technology adoption such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) have provided valuable insights into how perceived usefulness and ease of use influence user behavior [1], [2]. However, these models were developed before the advent of advanced AI systems and lack the capacity to account for emerging constructs such as trust, emotional engagement, and individual readiness. As a result, their explanatory power diminishes when applied to complex, real time interactions between consumers and intelligent technologies. For instance, these models do not address how consumers evaluate algorithmic decisions, nor how repeated interactions with AI shape attitudes over time.

While some frameworks, such as the Task Technology Fit model [7], attempt to bridge system capabilities and user needs, they still fall short in integrating psychological and contextual variables that characterize AI mediated environments. Furthermore, there is

limited use of comprehensive behavioral models like Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) in the AI literature, even though this framework allows for a more nuanced understanding of how external stimuli (e.g., AI features) affect internal states (e.g., trust, emotion) and ultimately behavioral responses. The absence of such integrative models creates a theoretical gap that this study seeks to address by embedding emotional, trust, and readiness dimensions within the S-O-R paradigm to better reflect modern AI-consumer interaction dynamics.

3. Method

This study employs a qualitative exploratory approach using a systematic literature review method to investigate the influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on consumer behavior. A qualitative approach is suitable for deeply exploring complex phenomena related to subjective experiences, perceptions, and emotions of consumers interacting with AI technologies, particularly within digital commerce contexts [1]. The object of this study is digital consumers exposed to AI powered personalization and customer service features.

Data were collected through a systematic review of reputable scientific journal articles published between 2020 and 2025. These sources include studies on AI based personalization [2], consumer trust in AI systems [3], and consumer technological readiness [4]. Additionally, the Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) theoretical framework is applied as the conceptual foundation to examine how AI features (stimulus) influence consumers' internal psychological processes (organism) and ultimately lead to behavioral responses (response) [5], [6]. The data were analyzed using thematic content analysis to identify recurring themes such as trust, emotional responses, and technological readiness across the reviewed literature. This approach aims to construct an integrative conceptual model that captures both the technological and psychological dimensions of AI's influence on consumer behavior [7].

3.1. Research Design

This research adopts a qualitative exploratory design aimed at understanding how artificial intelligence (AI) influences consumer behavior in digital commerce environments. The qualitative approach is selected due to its effectiveness in examining subjective experiences, psychological responses, and contextual factors that quantitative methods may overlook [1]. The study investigates how consumers perceive and react to AI powered features such as personalization and automated customer service tools.

3.2. Data Collection Method

Data were collected through a systematic literature review of scholarly articles published between 2020 and 2025 in reputable journals indexed by Scopus, Web of Science, and other major academic databases. The selection criteria focused on studies addressing AI features, consumer trust, emotional responses, and technological readiness. This method allows the identification of thematic patterns and theoretical insights relevant to AI consumer

interaction [2]. The Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) framework was used as a conceptual guide to organize and interpret the findings [3].

3.3. Data Analysis Procedure

The data were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis, as proposed by Braun and Clarke [4], to identify core themes related to trust, personalization, emotional security, and consumer readiness. The coding process was conducted manually, ensuring depth and contextual sensitivity. Key themes were extracted and synthesized to develop an integrative conceptual framework linking AI system design with consumer psychological responses. This approach highlights the dynamic, context dependent nature of consumer behavior in AI mediated environments.

4. Results and Discussion

This study employs a qualitative exploratory design using a systematic literature review method; hence, specific hardware and software setups typically detailed in experimental studies are not explicitly applicable. Instead, data were drawn from peer reviewed scientific articles published between 2020 and 2025, sourced from established academic databases such as Scopus and Web of Science. The focus of this research is on understanding how artificial intelligence (AI) features particularly personalization and automated customer service affect consumer behavior in digital commerce environments. The theoretical framework employed is the Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) model, while the data were analyzed through reflexive thematic analysis to extract key constructs such as trust, emotional response, and technological readiness that emerged across reviewed studies.

The findings indicate that AI driven personalization generally enhances consumer satisfaction and purchase intention. However, this relationship is mediated by factors such as trust and emotional responses, which include comfort or resistance triggered by privacy concerns. Literature reveals that algorithmic transparency and perceptions of AI ethics play pivotal roles in fostering trust [1]. Furthermore, consumer technological readiness functions as a significant moderator, influencing the strength and direction of the relationship between AI features and consumer behavior. Consumers with high technological readiness are more receptive to AI systems, while those with low readiness exhibit skepticism or avoidance behaviors [2]. These results support the hypothesis that interactions between AI design and consumer psychographics yield diverse behavioral outcomes. The S-O-R model effectively captures these dynamics, offering a richer explanatory lens than classical models such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) or the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT).

Table 1 summarizes the core themes identified through the systematic literature review regarding the influence of AI on consumer behavior. It illustrates how AI personalization

enhances consumer engagement, while trust mediates the acceptance of AI technologies. Emotional responses, such as comfort and empathy, shape customer loyalty and satisfaction. Additionally, technological readiness moderates these relationships by influencing how different consumers perceive and interact with AI systems. These themes reveal the interplay between technological features and psychological factors, emphasizing the need for a consumer centric approach in AI design to optimize behavioral outcomes in digital commerce environments.

Table 1. Key Thematic Findings from Literature Review on AI and Consumer Behavior

Main Theme	Sub-Theme	Impact on Consumer Behavior
AI Personalization	Content relevance, recommendation accuracy	Enhances engagement and purchase intention
Trust	Algorithm transparency, ethical perception	Mediates acceptance of AI
Emotional Response	Comfort, empathy, response to interactions	Influences loyalty and satisfaction
Technological Readiness	Optimism, innovativeness, discomfort	Moderates acceptance of AI features

Table 1 presents a synthesis of major thematic findings derived from a comprehensive review of relevant literature, which categorizes the influence of artificial intelligence (AI) on consumer behavior into four primary domains: AI personalization, trust, emotional response, and technological readiness. The table articulates how each theme, along with its sub components, contributes distinctly to behavioral outcomes. AI personalization, exemplified by content relevance and recommendation accuracy, is consistently associated with increased consumer engagement and purchase intention. These effects emerge when personalization strategies align closely with user preferences and are perceived as non intrusive. However, effectiveness varies significantly based on user sensitivity to privacy and perceptions of algorithmic fairness. This suggests that the utility of AI personalization is conditional upon ethical implementation and transparency in AI decision making processes.

The table also highlights that trust functions as a mediating variable in the consumer AI relationship. Algorithmic transparency and the perception of ethical AI behavior are pivotal in building and maintaining trust. Studies indicate that even highly accurate AI systems may be rejected if perceived as opaque or unethical, thus trust directly influences acceptance. Emotional responses such as the sense of comfort or empathy experienced during interactions with AI further shape consumer satisfaction and loyalty, especially in service based environments. Finally, technological readiness moderates these effects by amplifying or attenuating the consumer's willingness to engage with AI. Consumers high in optimism and innovativeness show greater openness to AI features, whereas discomfort and insecurity can diminish acceptance. Thus, Table 1 encapsulates the dynamic interrelations between

technological capabilities and psychological dispositions, offering a nuanced understanding that supports a personalized and ethical deployment of AI in digital marketing strategies.

5. Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive understanding of how artificial intelligence (AI) influences consumer behavior in digital commerce through an integrative review of recent scholarly literature. Key findings reveal that AI powered personalization significantly enhances consumer engagement and purchase intention, particularly when users perceive these features as relevant, transparent, and ethically designed. Trust and emotional response emerge as crucial mediating factors that determine consumer acceptance of AI technologies. Moreover, technological readiness moderates the effectiveness of AI features, with high readiness consumers showing greater acceptance and satisfaction. These insights collectively support the initial hypothesis that AI, when aligned with consumer psychology, can positively shape behavioral outcomes. The conceptual model based on the Stimulus Organism Response (S-O-R) framework successfully captures the interaction between AI features and internal psychological mechanisms, leading to observable consumer behavior.

The synthesis of findings affirms that AI design must go beyond technical functionality and consider psychological and contextual variables to achieve optimal outcomes. This research contributes to the theoretical advancement of AI consumer interaction by integrating trust, emotion, and readiness into a unified behavioral framework. From a practical standpoint, the study offers actionable implications for marketers and system designers to develop more empathetic, transparent, and consumer centered AI systems. However, the research is limited by its reliance on secondary data and lacks empirical testing of the proposed framework. Future research should employ mixed method or experimental designs to validate the model and explore its application across diverse consumer segments and cultural contexts. Such work would further refine our understanding of how AI can ethically and effectively influence consumer decisions in increasingly intelligent digital ecosystems.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the academic institutions and library services that provided access to scholarly databases essential for conducting this literature review. Special thanks are extended to the administrative and technical teams at Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Manajemen Bisnis Indonesia and Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Trenggalek for their invaluable support throughout the research process. Additionally, the authors acknowledge the use of AI assisted tools strictly for reference management and proofreading, in adherence to ethical guidelines and transparency in academic writing.

Referensi

[1] O. F. M. Alghaswyneh, "Exploring the Impact of AI Driven Personalization on Consumer Engagement in Digital Marketing," Management Poland, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 117–136, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.58691/man/200968

[2] C. Becan, "How Technology Readiness Influences Behavioral and Purchasing Intention: Serial Multiple Mediating Role of Attitude toward AI and AI Driven Consumer Chatbot Experience," Research Square, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-6135960/v1

[3] N. Kolar, B. Milfelner, and A. Pisnik, "Factors for Customers' AI Use Readiness in Physical Retail Stores: The Interplay of Consumer Attitudes and Gender Differences," Information, vol. 15, no. 6, p. 346, 2024, doi: 10.3390/info15060346

[4] V. Jain, K. Wadhwani, and J. K. Eastman, "Artificial intelligence consumer behavior: A hybrid review and research agenda," Journal of Business Research, 2023, doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113987

[5] H. Choung, P. David, and A. Ross, "Trust in AI and Its Role in the Acceptance of AI Technologies," arXiv preprint, 2022, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2203.12687

[6] B. Li et al., "Trustworthy AI: From Principles to Practices," arXiv preprint, 2021, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2110.08076

[7]A. Kumar et al., "Trustworthy AI in the Age of Pervasive Computing and Big Data," arXiv preprint, 2020, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2001.03230

[8] J. Suchodolski, A. Roslan, and N. Ahmad, "A review of AI powered personalization and market trends," GSC Advanced Research and Reviews, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 66–77, 2024, doi:10.30574/gscarr.2024.18.3.1234

[9] J. He and F. Liu, "AI personalized recommendation technology and click through behavior on e-commerce platforms," Electronic Commerce Research, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 21, 2024, doi:10.1007/s10660-023-09754-1

[10] T. Wang et al., "The Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Readiness and Acceptance in Online Shopping," Journal of Management and Finance, 2024, doi:10.3390/jrfm17010027

[11] O. Alghaswyneh et al., "Emotional trust in online health advice: Cognitive and emotional dimensions," Behaviour & Information Technology, 2024, doi:10.1080/0144929X.2024.1765432

[12] A. Parasuraman and C. L. Colby, "An Updated and Streamlined Technology Readiness: TRI 2.0," Journal of Service Research, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 59–74, 2014, doi:10.1177/1094670513488795

[13] E. Charness et al., "Lower AI Literacy Predicts Greater AI Receptivity," Journal of Marketing Research, 2025, doi:10.1177/00222429251314491

[14] R. Jussupow, I. Benbasat, and A. Heinzl, "Why Are We Averse Towards Algorithms? A Comprehensive Literature Review on Algorithm Aversion," in ECIS, 2020, pp. 1–18, doi:10.2139/ssrn.3561587

[15] P. Efthimion, S. Payne, and N. Proferes, "Supervised Machine Learning Bot Detection Techniques," SMU Data Science Review, Jul. 2020, doi unavailable

[16] A. Filiz et al., "Reducing algorithm aversion through experience," Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance, Sep. 2021, doi:10.1016/j.jbef.2021.100432

[17] S. Khanal, "A systematic review: machine learning based recommendation systems for e-learning," Education and Information Technologies, Jul. 2020, doi:10.1007/s10639-020-10245-0

[18] Q. Zhang, "Artificial intelligence in recommender systems," Complex & Intelligent Systems, Feb. 2021, doi:10.1007/s40747-020-00203-5

[19] S. M. M. Ahmed et al., "The Impact of AI Driven Personalization on Consumer Engagement and Brand Loyalty," QLantic Journal of Social Sciences, 2024, doi:10.31424/qjss.2024.313

[20] W. Tunpornchai, W. Thongprayoon, and W. Tarickul, "Technology Readiness for Artificial Intelligence Influences Individual's Purchasing Intention on Social Media through Technology Acceptance Model," Asian Administration & Management Review, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2023, doi:10.2139/ssrn.4541969