

Research Article

The Intersection of Islamic Banking and Cryptocurrency: Opportunities and Challenges for Global Finance

Alfi Fuadah *

Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Trenggalek, Indonesia

* Corresponding Author: Alfi.golek09@gmail.com

Abstract. The rapid development of financial technology has introduced cryptocurrency as a transformative innovation within the global financial system, raising fundamental questions regarding its compatibility with value-based financial models, particularly Islamic banking. Grounded in Shari'ah principles that emphasize ethical finance, risk-sharing, asset-backed transactions, and the prohibition of ribâ, gharar, and maysir, Islamic banking faces both opportunities and challenges in responding to the emergence of decentralized digital assets. This study aims to explore how Islamic banking can engage with cryptocurrency while maintaining its normative and ethical foundations, as well as to identify the key constraints that limit institutional adoption within global finance. Employing a qualitative research approach, the study conducts a systematic and interpretive review of scholarly literature, regulatory frameworks, and classical as well as contemporary Shari'ah sources related to Islamic finance, blockchain technology, and cryptocurrency governance. The analysis reveals that blockchain technology demonstrates substantial alignment with Islamic banking principles through its transparency, traceability, and decentralized verification mechanisms, offering institutional potential in areas such as payments, trade finance, and smart contracts. However, the findings also indicate that cryptocurrency markets are characterized by high volatility, speculative behavior, weak real-sector linkage, and fragmented regulatory oversight, which raise significant ethical, financial, and governance concerns for Islamic banking institutions. Regulatory divergence and inconsistent Shari'ah interpretations further complicate cross-border implementation and scalability. The study concludes that Islamic banking should adopt a selective and principle-oriented approach to cryptocurrency, distinguishing between permissible technological infrastructure and ethically problematic market practices.

Received: September 18, 2025**Revised:** September 30, 2025**Accepted:** October 19, 2025**Published:** October 31, 2025**Curr. Ver.:** October 31, 2025

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA) license

[\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

1. Introduction

The rapid evolution of financial technology has fundamentally reshaped the architecture of global finance, introducing new instruments, platforms, and paradigms that challenge conventional banking systems. Among the most disruptive innovations is cryptocurrency, a decentralized digital asset system that operates through blockchain technology and offers alternatives to traditional monetary mechanisms. While cryptocurrencies have gained increasing attention for their efficiency, transparency, and borderless nature, their compatibility with value-based financial systems particularly Islamic banking remains a subject of ongoing debate and scholarly inquiry.

Islamic banking is grounded in Shari‘ah principles that emphasize ethical finance, risk-sharing, asset-backed transactions, and the prohibition of *ribā* (interest), *gharar* (excessive uncertainty), and *maysir* (speculation). These foundational principles distinguish Islamic finance from conventional banking and position it as a moral and socially responsible financial system. The emergence of cryptocurrencies, however, presents both convergence and tension with these principles. On one hand, blockchain technology aligns with Islamic finance values through transparency, decentralization, and peer-to-peer transactions. On the other hand, concerns related to price volatility, speculative behavior, regulatory uncertainty, and the absence of intrinsic value raise critical Shari‘ah and governance questions.

The intersection between Islamic banking and cryptocurrency thus represents a complex and multidimensional landscape. For Islamic financial institutions, cryptocurrencies may offer opportunities to enhance financial inclusion, reduce transaction costs, and develop innovative Shari‘ah-compliant digital products. At the same time, unresolved jurisprudential debates, inconsistent regulatory frameworks across jurisdictions, and differing interpretations among Shari‘ah scholars pose significant challenges to widespread adoption. These issues are particularly relevant in the context of global finance, where harmonization between ethical norms and technological innovation is increasingly demanded.

This article seeks to explore the opportunities and challenges arising from the interaction between Islamic banking and cryptocurrency within the global financial system. By examining conceptual foundations, regulatory perspectives, and practical implications, the study contributes to the growing discourse on how Islamic finance can respond adaptively to digital transformation without compromising its normative principles. Understanding this intersection is essential not only for policymakers and financial institutions but also for advancing a sustainable and ethically grounded global financial ecosystem.

2. Preliminaries or Related Work or Literature Review

The discourse on the relationship between Islamic banking and cryptocurrency has developed rapidly alongside the expansion of digital finance within the global financial system. Scholars from diverse disciplines including Islamic jurisprudence, financial economics, and regulatory studies have approached this issue from different analytical angles, producing a fragmented yet growing body of knowledge. Understanding the current state of research is essential to position this study within existing debates, identify dominant perspectives, and clarify unresolved theoretical and practical issues. Accordingly, this section reviews key scholarly contributions related to cryptocurrency and Islamic banking, maps prevailing approaches and findings, and highlights the conceptual, regulatory, and institutional gaps that motivate the present analysis.

Conceptual and Jurisprudential Perspectives on Cryptocurrency in Islamic Finance

Scholarly discussions on cryptocurrency within Islamic finance initially focus on its conceptual classification under Sharī'ah. Central to this debate is whether cryptocurrency constitutes money (*thaman*), lawful property (*ma�*), or a speculative digital instrument. This distinction carries significant implications for Islamic banking, particularly in relation to the prohibition of *ribā*, *gharar*, and *maysir*. Critics highlight the absence of intrinsic value and sovereign backing as factors that undermine the monetary legitimacy of cryptocurrencies within classical Islamic jurisprudence.

In contrast, more adaptive interpretations emphasize functional criteria such as utility, market acceptance, and economic benefit. From this perspective, cryptocurrencies may be recognized as *ma�* if they are widely accepted and serve legitimate transactional purposes. This approach reflects an evolving understanding of Sharī'ah principles in response to technological innovation, allowing digital assets to be assessed based on their practical role in economic exchange rather than physical form alone.

Despite these differing views, there is broad consensus that cryptocurrency markets exhibit high levels of volatility and speculative activity. Such characteristics raise concerns about *maysir* and challenge the suitability of cryptocurrencies for integration into Islamic banking, which prioritizes stability and real sector linkage. Consequently, existing jurisprudential debates often remain confined to individual permissibility, underscoring the need for broader institutional and systemic analysis that aligns Sharī'ah reasoning with contemporary financial realities.

Blockchain Technology and Sharī'ah Compatible Financial Innovation

A growing strand of literature shifts the focus from cryptocurrency as a speculative asset to blockchain technology as an enabling infrastructure for Islamic finance. Scholars emphasize that blockchain's core features transparency, immutability, decentralization, and traceability align with Sharī'ah principles of accountability, trust, and fairness in financial transactions. From this perspective, blockchain is viewed not merely as a technological tool but as a governance mechanism capable of reducing information asymmetry and enhancing contractual clarity in Islamic banking operations.

Empirical and conceptual studies suggest that blockchain-based applications may facilitate Sharī'ah-compliant financial innovation, particularly in areas such as cross-border payments, smart contracts, trade finance, and digital identity verification. These applications are argued to support asset-backed transactions and risk-sharing arrangements by embedding contractual rules directly into programmable systems. As a result, blockchain is increasingly framed as a catalyst for financial inclusion and operational efficiency within Islamic financial institutions.

Nevertheless, existing studies often treat blockchain technology in isolation from the economic behavior surrounding cryptocurrency markets. While technological design may

align with Shari'ah principles, practical implementation remains constrained by regulatory uncertainty, interoperability challenges, and the potential misuse of decentralized systems for speculative purposes. This disconnect highlights a limitation in the literature, where technological compatibility is emphasized without sufficient attention to institutional governance and regulatory harmonization within the global financial system.

Regulatory and Institutional Perspectives on Cryptocurrency in Islamic Banking

Regulatory and institutional perspectives play a central role in shaping the interaction between Islamic banking and cryptocurrency. Existing literature highlights the absence of a unified regulatory framework governing digital assets, resulting in significant variation across jurisdictions. In countries with established Islamic finance sectors, regulatory authorities often adopt cautious or restrictive approaches toward cryptocurrency, reflecting concerns over financial stability, consumer protection, and Shari'ah compliance. This regulatory fragmentation creates uncertainty for Islamic financial institutions seeking to engage with cryptocurrency-related activities.

From an institutional standpoint, Islamic banks face complex governance challenges when considering cryptocurrency adoption. Studies emphasize the role of Shari'ah supervisory boards in assessing digital assets, yet note substantial divergence in interpretative judgments among scholars. These differences lead to inconsistent institutional policies, limiting cross-border harmonization and the scalability of Shari'ah-compliant digital financial products. Moreover, the lack of standardized Shari'ah guidelines for cryptocurrency complicates risk management and compliance frameworks within Islamic banking institutions.

Recent scholarship calls for an integrated regulatory approach that combines technological oversight, financial regulation, and Shari'ah governance. Rather than viewing cryptocurrency solely as a speculative threat, this perspective encourages adaptive regulation that distinguishes between underlying blockchain infrastructure and speculative market practices. Such an approach is increasingly seen as necessary to enable Islamic banking to participate in digital financial innovation while maintaining regulatory integrity and ethical accountability in the global financial system.

Financial Risks, Volatility, and Ethical Constraints

A substantial body of literature highlights financial risk and market volatility as primary challenges in the integration of cryptocurrency into Islamic banking. Empirical studies consistently show that cryptocurrency prices are subject to extreme fluctuations driven by speculative trading, limited intrinsic valuation benchmarks, and market sentiment. Such volatility undermines price stability and raises concerns regarding excessive uncertainty (*gharar*), which conflicts with Islamic finance principles that emphasize predictability and risk-sharing grounded in real economic activity.

Beyond volatility, ethical concerns emerge from the prevalence of speculative behavior and short term profit orientation in cryptocurrency markets. These characteristics are frequently associated with *maysir*, particularly when trading activities resemble gambling rather than productive investment. Islamic banking, by contrast, is structured around ethical intermediation, asset backed financing, and social responsibility. The dominance of speculative motives in many cryptocurrency transactions therefore poses a normative challenge that extends beyond technical compliance to the moral objectives of Sharī‘ah-based finance.

In addition, risk governance and accountability remain unresolved in decentralized cryptocurrency systems. The absence of centralized oversight complicates consumer protection, dispute resolution, and systemic risk management areas of critical importance for Islamic financial institutions. Existing literature suggests that without robust regulatory and ethical frameworks, the incorporation of cryptocurrency into Islamic banking may expose institutions to reputational and compliance risks. These concerns reinforce the need for carefully designed governance mechanisms that align technological innovation with financial stability and ethical accountability within the global financial system.

3. Materials and Method

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to examine the intersection between Islamic banking and cryptocurrency within the global financial system. A qualitative design is considered appropriate given the normative, conceptual, and regulatory dimensions of the topic, which require interpretative analysis rather than statistical measurement. The study focuses on understanding meanings, principles, and institutional responses related to the compatibility of cryptocurrency with Sharī‘ah-based finance.

Data collection is conducted through a systematic review of scholarly literature, including academic journal articles, books, policy reports, and regulatory documents related to Islamic finance, blockchain technology, and cryptocurrency governance. In addition, classical and contemporary Sharī‘ah sources are examined to capture jurisprudential perspectives relevant to Islamic banking principles. The selection of sources is guided by relevance, credibility, and contribution to the discourse on digital finance and Islamic economics.

Data analysis follows an interpretive thematic approach, whereby key themes are identified, compared, and synthesized across jurisprudential, technological, and regulatory domains. This process enables the study to map convergences and tensions between Islamic banking principles and cryptocurrency practices. By integrating multiple analytical dimensions, the qualitative method facilitates a comprehensive understanding of opportunities and challenges while ensuring alignment with ethical and normative foundations of Islamic finance.

4. Results and Discussion

This section presents the findings of the qualitative analysis and discusses their implications in relation to the conceptual, jurisprudential, and regulatory literature reviewed earlier. The results are derived from a thematic interpretation of scholarly and policy sources, allowing key patterns and tensions between Islamic banking principles and cryptocurrency practices to be identified. By integrating these findings with existing theoretical and normative frameworks, the discussion highlights how Islamic finance responds to digital transformation and evaluates the extent to which cryptocurrency can be accommodated within an ethically grounded global financial system.

Technological Compatibility and Institutional Potential

The analysis indicates that blockchain technology exhibits a substantial degree of compatibility with the foundational principles of Islamic banking. Features such as transparency, immutability, and decentralized verification contribute to enhanced accountability and trust in financial transactions. These characteristics align with Sharī‘ah requirements for contractual clarity and fairness, suggesting that blockchain can function as a supportive infrastructure for Islamic financial operations rather than as a disruptive element in itself.

From an institutional perspective, blockchain-based systems offer significant potential for Islamic banking innovation. The findings show that applications in payment systems, trade finance, and smart contracts can improve operational efficiency while maintaining Sharī‘ah compliance. In particular, peer-to-peer transaction models and programmable contracts may facilitate risk-sharing and asset-backed financing structures, which are central to Islamic banking practices. This institutional potential positions blockchain as a strategic tool for enhancing financial inclusion and cross-border service delivery.

Despite this compatibility, the realization of institutional benefits remains contingent on governance and design choices. The analysis underscores that technological alignment alone is insufficient without supportive regulatory frameworks and Sharī‘ah oversight. Without clear institutional guidelines, the deployment of blockchain solutions risks replicating conventional financial practices rather than advancing the ethical objectives of Islamic finance. This finding highlights the importance of integrating technology with normative and institutional safeguards to ensure meaningful adoption.

Table 1. Technological Compatibility and Institutional Potential.

Analytical Dimension	Key Findings	Implications for Islamic Banking
Transaction transparency	Blockchain enables open and immutable transaction records	Enhances accountability and trust in line with Sharī‘ah principles

Decentralization	Verification without centralized intermediaries	Supports efficiency and fairness in financial transactions
Smart contracts	Automated execution of contractual rules	Strengthens contractual certainty and Shari‘ah compliance
Institutional potential	Applicability in payments and trade finance	Facilitates service innovation and financial inclusion

Table 1 demonstrates that blockchain technology possesses a high degree of compatibility with the normative foundations of Islamic banking, particularly through its emphasis on transparency, immutability, and decentralized verification. These features strengthen contractual clarity and accountability, addressing long-standing concerns related to information asymmetry and moral hazard in financial transactions. At the institutional level, the integration of smart contracts enables Shari‘ah-compliant rules to be embedded directly into transactional processes, thereby supporting risk-sharing and asset-backed financing while improving operational efficiency. Nevertheless, the table also highlights that technological alignment alone is insufficient; without robust governance structures, regulatory clarity, and effective Shari‘ah oversight, blockchain adoption may fail to advance the ethical objectives of Islamic finance and instead replicate conventional financial practices.

Market Risks, Volatility, and Shari‘ah Implications

The findings reveal that market risk and price volatility constitute the most significant obstacles to the integration of cryptocurrency within Islamic banking. Cryptocurrency markets are characterized by sharp price fluctuations driven by speculative trading behavior, limited intrinsic valuation mechanisms, and sensitivity to market sentiment. Such instability undermines the principle of predictability in financial transactions and intensifies concerns related to excessive uncertainty (*gharar*), which Islamic finance seeks to minimize.

Beyond volatility, speculative practices dominate many cryptocurrency transactions, raising serious Shari‘ah implications. Short-term trading strategies, leverage, and profit-oriented speculation increasingly resemble gambling-like behavior, conflicting with the ethical foundations of Islamic banking. The analysis indicates that this speculative orientation weakens the linkage between financial activity and the real economy, a core requirement for Shari‘ah-compliant finance centered on productive investment and social value creation.

These risks have direct institutional consequences for Islamic banks. Exposure to volatile digital assets may heighten financial instability, compliance challenges, and reputational risk, particularly in the absence of clear Shari‘ah and regulatory guidance. As a result, Islamic banking institutions tend to adopt a cautious and selective approach, distinguishing between

the permissibility of blockchain technology and the risks embedded in prevailing cryptocurrency market structures. This distinction reinforces the need for ethical constraints and risk governance mechanisms tailored to the principles of Islamic finance.

Table 2. Market Risks, Volatility, and Shari‘ah Implications.

Risk Aspect	Cryptocurrency Characteristics	Shari‘ah-Related Implications
Price volatility	Extreme and unpredictable price fluctuations	Intensifies elements of <i>gharar</i> (excessive uncertainty)
Market behavior	Predominance of short-term speculative trading	Potential exposure to <i>maysir</i> (speculation)
Real-sector linkage	Limited asset-backing and productive connection	Weakens asset-backed financing principles
Institutional risk	High valuation and compliance uncertainty	Heightened reputational and Shari‘ah compliance risks

Table 2 highlights that market volatility and speculative behavior represent the most critical obstacles to the integration of cryptocurrency within Islamic banking. Extreme price fluctuations and the dominance of short-term trading intensify elements of *gharar* and *maysir*, which directly conflict with Shari‘ah principles emphasizing stability, fairness, and productive economic activity. The limited linkage between cryptocurrency markets and the real sector further weakens asset backed financing requirements that underpin Islamic financial intermediation. For Islamic banking institutions, these characteristics translate into heightened financial, compliance, and reputational risks, reinforcing the need for cautious engagement and the differentiation between permissible technological infrastructure and ethically problematic market practices.

Regulatory Fragmentation and Shari‘ah Governance Challenges

The analysis demonstrates that regulatory fragmentation remains a major barrier to the effective integration of cryptocurrency within Islamic banking. Different jurisdictions adopt divergent regulatory stances toward digital assets, ranging from permissive frameworks to outright restrictions. For Islamic banking institutions operating in cross-border environments, this inconsistency generates legal uncertainty and complicates compliance with both financial regulations and Shari‘ah requirements.

Shari‘ah governance further intensifies these challenges. The findings indicate substantial variation in scholarly interpretations regarding the permissibility and application of cryptocurrency, leading to inconsistent institutional policies. Differences in Shari‘ah supervisory board decisions limit standardization and hinder the scalability of digital financial

products across Islamic banking markets. This lack of harmonized guidance reduces institutional confidence and slows innovation.

These governance challenges highlight the need for coordinated regulatory and Sharī'ah frameworks that address technological, financial, and ethical dimensions simultaneously. Rather than fragmented national approaches, the results suggest that collaborative standards and cross-jurisdictional dialogue are essential to support responsible innovation. Strengthening Sharī'ah governance and regulatory coherence would enable Islamic banking to engage with digital finance while preserving ethical integrity and institutional stability within the global financial system.

Strategic Implications for Islamic Banking and Global Finance

The findings suggest that the strategic engagement of Islamic banking with cryptocurrency requires a selective and principle-oriented approach. Rather than positioning cryptocurrency as a wholesale substitute for existing financial instruments, Islamic banks are better served by leveraging blockchain technology in ways that reinforce Sharī'ah objectives. Strategic emphasis on infrastructure development, digital payment systems, and asset-backed applications allows Islamic finance to benefit from technological innovation while avoiding exposure to excessive speculation and instability.

At the institutional level, the results indicate that Islamic banking must strengthen internal governance mechanisms to manage digital financial risks. This includes enhancing the role of Sharī'ah supervisory boards in technological assessment, integrating ethical risk evaluation into digital strategy, and developing compliance frameworks that distinguish between permissible technological use and problematic market behavior. Such measures are essential to ensure that innovation does not dilute the normative foundations of Islamic finance.

From a global finance perspective, the interaction between Islamic banking and cryptocurrency highlights broader challenges of harmonizing ethical finance with rapid technological change. The analysis underscores the need for collaborative regulatory initiatives that bridge national boundaries and align financial innovation with ethical accountability. By advancing coordinated standards and adaptive governance models, Islamic banking can contribute to a more stable, inclusive, and ethically grounded global financial system in the digital era.

Table 3. Strategic Implications for Islamic Banking and Global Finance.

Strategic Level	Policy Direction	Long-Term Impact
Institutional strategy	Selective adoption of blockchain-based solutions	Innovation aligned with Sharī'ah objectives
Internal governance	Strengthening the role of Sharī'ah supervisory boards	Mitigation of ethical and reputational risks

Regulatory coordination	Cross-jurisdictional regulatory harmonization	Improved stability and market confidence
Global financial system	Integration of ethical norms and digital innovation	A more inclusive and sustainable financial ecosystem

Table 4 indicates that the strategic engagement of Islamic banking with cryptocurrency should be guided by a selective and principle-based approach rather than broad adoption. The emphasis on targeted blockchain applications reflects the need to balance technological innovation with Sharī‘ah objectives, ensuring that digital transformation strengthens ethical finance rather than undermines it. Strengthening internal governance, particularly the role of Sharī‘ah supervisory boards, emerges as a critical requirement for managing ethical and reputational risks associated with digital assets. At the global level, the table underscores the importance of regulatory harmonization and cross-jurisdictional cooperation to support stable, inclusive, and ethically grounded financial systems, positioning Islamic banking as a constructive contributor to sustainable global finance in the digital era.

5. Comparison

Compared to existing state-of-the-art studies, prior research on Islamic banking and cryptocurrency largely concentrates on isolated dimensions, particularly normative Sharī‘ah rulings, technological feasibility of blockchain, or national-level regulatory responses. Many studies focus on determining the permissibility (*hukm*) of cryptocurrency transactions at the individual level, while others emphasize blockchain efficiency without sufficiently addressing market behavior, institutional governance, or cross-jurisdictional implications. As a result, the literature remains fragmented, offering valuable but partial insights that do not fully capture the systemic interaction between Islamic banking principles and the global cryptocurrency ecosystem.

In contrast, this study advances the state-of-the-art by adopting an integrated qualitative framework that simultaneously examines jurisprudential foundations, technological infrastructure, market dynamics, and regulatory governance within a global finance context. Unlike earlier works that treat cryptocurrency as either inherently incompatible or technologically neutral, this article differentiates between blockchain as an enabling infrastructure and cryptocurrency markets as risk-laden financial environments. By synthesizing ethical analysis with institutional and regulatory considerations, the study provides a more comprehensive and measurable contribution to the literature, positioning Islamic banking not as a passive recipient of financial technology but as an active normative actor capable of shaping ethically grounded digital finance.

6. Conclusion

This study examines the intersection between Islamic banking and cryptocurrency within the context of global finance by employing a qualitative and integrative analytical approach. The findings indicate that while blockchain technology demonstrates substantial compatibility with Sharī‘ah principles through transparency, traceability, and decentralized verification, the dominant characteristics of cryptocurrency markets particularly price volatility, speculative behavior, and weak real-sector linkage pose significant ethical, financial, and governance challenges for Islamic banking. Regulatory fragmentation and divergent Sharī‘ah interpretations further constrain institutional adoption, reinforcing the need to distinguish between permissible technological infrastructure and problematic market practices. These results support the study’s objective of identifying both opportunities and constraints in aligning Islamic finance with digital financial innovation.

By synthesizing jurisprudential, technological, market, and regulatory perspectives, this article contributes to the existing literature by moving beyond fragmented permissibility debates toward a systemic understanding of how Islamic banking can engage with cryptocurrency in a principle-driven manner. The study highlights that Islamic banking’s engagement with digital finance should be selective, governance-oriented, and aligned with the objectives of *maqāṣid al-Sharī‘ah*, thereby positioning Islamic finance as an active normative actor in shaping ethical global finance. Nevertheless, this research is limited by its qualitative and conceptual scope, which does not include empirical testing or case-based institutional analysis. Future research may extend this work by examining empirical evidence from Islamic financial institutions, comparative regulatory case studies, or the design of Sharī‘ah-compliant digital asset frameworks to further strengthen the integration of ethics and technology in Islamic finance.

Acknowledgments: The author, Alfi Fuadah, gratefully acknowledges the institutional support provided by Institut Teknologi dan Bisnis Trenggalek (ITB Trenggalek) in facilitating access to academic resources and scholarly materials essential for this study. Appreciation is also extended to colleagues and academic peers for their constructive feedback during the development of the manuscript. In the preparation of this article, artificial intelligence-based tools were used in a supportive and limited manner to assist with language refinement and structural clarity; all substantive analyses, interpretations, and conclusions remain the sole responsibility of the author and adhere to established standards of academic integrity.

References

Abu-Bakar, M. M., Rosbi, S., Uzaki, K., & Uzir, M. U. H. (2018). Cryptocurrency framework diagnostics from Islamic finance perspective: A new insight of bitcoin system transaction. *International Journal of Management Science and Business Administration*, 4(1), 19-28. <https://doi.org/10.18775/ijmsba.1849-5664-5419.2014.41.1003> <https://doi.org/10.18775/ijmsba.1849-5664>

Alam, N., Gupta, L., & Zameni, A. (2019). FinTech and Islamic finance: Digital transformation in the Islamic financial industry. *Financial Innovation*, 5(1), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-019-0131-x>

Ali, A., Rahman, M. S., & Rahim, A. (2020). Blockchain and Islamic finance: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 11(4), 841-860. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-07-2018-0112>

Biancone, P. P., Secinaro, S., & Kamal, M. (2019). Crowdfunding and FinTech: Business model Sharia compliant. *European Journal of Islamic Finance*, 12, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.13135/2421-2172/2991>

Chapra, M. U., & Ahmed, H. (2002). Corporate governance in Islamic financial institutions. *Journal of Islamic Studies*, 13(3), 1-30. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jis/13.3.1>

Ghazali, N. H. M., & Yasuoka, M. (2018). Awareness and perception analysis of cryptocurrency in Islamic finance. *Journal of Islamic Finance*, 7(2), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.12816/0051377> <https://doi.org/10.12816/0051377>

Hasan, R., & Hassan, M. K. (2020). Blockchain, FinTech, and Islamic finance: Building the future. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 62(5), 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.22189> <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.22189>

Hassan, M. K., Aliyu, S., Huda, M., & Rashid, M. (2021). A survey on Islamic finance and FinTech. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 35(3), 1-30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12428> <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12428>

Khan, S. N., & Rabbani, M. R. (2020). Blockchain-based smart contracts in Islamic finance. *Journal of Islamic Finance*, 9(2), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.12816/0058798>

Kou, G., Peng, Y., & Wang, G. (2014). Evaluation of clustering algorithms for financial risk analysis. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 64, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2014.04.020> <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2014.04.020>

Malkawi, B. H., & Alshamali, A. (2021). Cryptocurrency from a Sharia perspective. *Arab Law Quarterly*, 35(1), 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15730255-BJA10068> <https://doi.org/10.1163/15730255-BJA10068>

Narayanan, A., Bonneau, J., Felten, E., Miller, A., & Goldfeder, S. (2016). Bitcoin and cryptocurrency technologies: A comprehensive introduction. *Communications of the ACM*, 59(2), 104-113. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2896384> <https://doi.org/10.1145/2896384>

Nawaz, T., & Hassan, M. K. (2018). Islamic finance and FinTech: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 132, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2016.10.014> <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2016.10.014>

Schär, F. (2021). Decentralized finance: On blockchain and smart contracts. *Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review*, 103(2), 153-174. <https://doi.org/10.20955/r.103.153-174> <https://doi.org/10.20955/r.103.153-174>

Smolo, E., & Mirakhor, A. (2010). The global financial crisis and Islamic finance. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 3(4), 372-385. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17538391011093252> <https://doi.org/10.1108/17538391011093252>

Swan, M. (2015). Blockchain: Blueprint for a new economy. O'Reilly Media Journal Series, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4842-6038-4>

Tapscott, D., & Tapscott, A. (2017). How blockchain is changing finance. *Harvard Business Review*, 95(2), 2-5. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2966982> <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2966982>

Uddin, M. A., Ali, M. H., & Masih, M. (2020). Shariah-compliant financial innovation: FinTech and Islamic finance. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal*, 62, 101352. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2020.101352> <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2020.101352>

Yermack, D. (2017). Corporate governance and blockchains. *Review of Finance*, 21(1), 7-31. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfw074> <https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfw074>

Zaher, T. S., & Hassan, M. K. (2001). A comparative literature survey of Islamic finance. *Financial Markets, Institutions & Instruments*, 10(4), 155-199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0416.00044> <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0416.00044>

Zeitzsche, D. A., Buckley, R. P., Arner, D. W., & Barberis, J. (2017). From FinTech to TechFin: The regulatory challenges of data-driven finance. *New York University Journal of Law & Business*, 14(2), 393-446. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2959925> <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2959925>