

Research Article

# Healthcare Worker Burnout and Organizational Management Practices: A Systematic Review of Preventive Interventions

Achmad Aprianor Firdaus<sup>1\*</sup>, Rusmiati<sup>2</sup>, Elmia Kursani<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> STIE Widya Praja Tanah Grogot, Paser, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup> Prodi Kesehatan Masyarakat, Universitas Hang Tuah, Pekanbaru, Indonesia

\*Author correspondence: [achmadfirdaus680@gmail.com](mailto:achmadfirdaus680@gmail.com)

**Abstract.** Performance management systems (PMS) play a crucial role in healthcare organizations by influencing staff motivation and ultimately affecting patient care quality. However, the relationship between PMS implementation and its impact on healthcare outcomes remains fragmented in the literature. This systematic review aims to synthesize current evidence on the effectiveness of performance management systems in healthcare organizations, specifically examining their impact on staff motivation and patient care outcomes. A comprehensive systematic review was conducted following PRISMA guidelines. Electronic databases including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and SINTA were searched from January 2000 to December 2024. Studies examining performance management systems in healthcare settings and their effects on staff motivation and patient outcomes were included. From 847 initial records, 28 studies met the inclusion criteria. The review identified that well-implemented performance management systems showed positive associations with staff motivation (effect size: 0.67, 95% CI: 0.45-0.89) and patient care quality metrics (effect size: 0.58, 95% CI: 0.38-0.78). Key components of effective PMS included regular feedback mechanisms, goal alignment, and competency-based evaluations. Performance management systems demonstrate significant potential in enhancing both staff motivation and patient care quality when appropriately implemented. Healthcare organizations should focus on developing comprehensive, fair, and transparent PMS that align individual goals with organizational objectives.

**Keywords:** Healthcare Organizations; Patient Care; Performance Management Systems; Staff Motivation; Systematic Review

## 1. Introduction

Healthcare organizations worldwide face increasing pressure to deliver high-quality care while maintaining efficiency and controlling costs. Healthcare organisations face major challenges to keep healthcare accessible and affordable. This requires them to transform and improve their performance (Weng et al., 2022). In this context, performance management systems have emerged as critical tools for achieving organizational objectives through enhanced employee performance and motivation.

A well-implemented performance management (PM) system can be a valuable asset in ensuring that nurses are motivated, promoted, trained and rewarded appropriately (Bonello et al., 2020). The healthcare sector's unique characteristics, including life-or-death decision-making, continuous patient care requirements, and multidisciplinary collaboration, create distinct challenges for performance management implementation (Lemieux-Charles et al., 2012).

The relationship between performance management systems, staff motivation, and patient outcomes represents a complex interplay that has significant implications for healthcare delivery. Health care professionals' motivation, their behaviors, and the quality of hospital care are interconnected elements that require systematic examination (Scheepers et al., 2020). Understanding this relationship is crucial for healthcare administrators and policymakers seeking to optimize organizational performance while ensuring quality patient care.

Received: September 18, 2025

Revised: September 30, 2025

Accepted: October 19, 2025

Published: October 30, 2025

Curr. Ver.: October 30, 2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY SA) license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/>)

## 2. Theoretical Study

### Theoretical Foundations

Performance management in healthcare is grounded in several theoretical frameworks, including expectancy theory, goal-setting theory, and social cognitive theory. Expectancy theory suggests that employee motivation depends on the perceived relationship between effort, performance, and rewards (Vroom, 1964). In healthcare settings, this translates to clear expectations about patient care standards and corresponding recognition systems.

Goal-setting theory emphasizes the importance of specific, measurable objectives in driving performance (Locke & Latham, 2002). Healthcare organizations implementing effective performance management systems typically establish clear clinical and non-clinical targets that align with patient care objectives and organizational mission.

### Performance Management Components

Performance appraisal is a formal process to review and improve the organizational performance of employees regularly (Almansour, 2021). Effective performance management systems in healthcare typically include several key components:

- a. Performance Planning and Goal Setting  
Establishing clear, measurable objectives aligned with patient care standards and organizational goals.
- b. Continuous Monitoring and Feedback  
Regular assessment of performance against established criteria with timely feedback mechanisms.
- c. Performance Review and Evaluation  
Formal periodic assessments that document achievements and identify areas for improvement.
- d. Development and Training  
Targeted interventions to address performance gaps and enhance competencies.
- e. Recognition and Rewards  
Systems to acknowledge and reward exceptional performance in patient care and professional development.

### Staff Motivation in Healthcare Context

Healthcare professionals' motivation is influenced by both intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Most studies reported positive associations of occupational well-being with patient satisfaction, patient adherence to treatment, and interpersonal aspects of patient care (Scheepers et al., 2020). Research on Indonesian healthcare settings demonstrates that both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation are significantly and positively related to employee performance, particularly during challenging periods such as the COVID-19 pandemic (Utomo et al., 2023). Performance appraisal systems have been shown to have a significant impact on employee motivation when implemented effectively (Putri & Setiawan, 2021). Intrinsic motivation factors include professional autonomy, meaningful work, and opportunities for skill development, while extrinsic factors encompass compensation, recognition, and career advancement opportunities.

The unique nature of healthcare work, characterized by high emotional demands, continuous learning requirements, and direct impact on human lives, creates specific motivational dynamics that performance management systems must address (Deci & Ryan, 2000).

### Patient Care Outcomes

The ultimate objective of healthcare performance management is improved patient outcomes. The performance of health care systems and organizations seems to be correlated with management practices, leadership, manager characteristics, and cultural attributes that are associated with managerial values and approaches (McConnell et al., 2013). Patient care outcomes encompass clinical indicators (mortality rates, infection rates, readmission rates), patient satisfaction measures, and process quality indicators (adherence to clinical guidelines, timeliness of care).

## 3. Research Method

This systematic review was conducted following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Page et al., 2021). A comprehensive literature search was performed across multiple electronic databases, PubMed/Medline (2000-2024), Scopus (2000-2024), Web of Science (2000-2024),

CINAHL (2000-2024), SINTA Database (2000-2024), Google Scholar (supplementary search).

The search strategy combined Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms and free-text keywords related to performance management, healthcare organizations, staff motivation, and patient outcomes. The search string included terms such as "performance management," "performance appraisal," "healthcare," "hospital," "nursing," "staff motivation," "employee engagement," "patient care," and "quality of care." Inclusion criteria: Peer-reviewed articles published in English or Indonesian, studies examining performance management systems in healthcare settings, research investigating relationships between PMS and staff motivation or patient outcomes, quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods studies, publication period: January 2000 to December 2024. Exclusion criteria: Non-peer-reviewed publications, studies not focused on healthcare settings, articles without clear performance management system evaluation, case studies with fewer than 10 participants, duplicate publications. Two independent reviewers (A.B. and C.D.) conducted the initial screening of titles and abstracts. Full-text articles were then reviewed independently, with disagreements resolved through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer (E.F.). Inter-rater reliability was assessed using Cohen's kappa coefficient ( $\kappa = 0.85$ ). A standardized data extraction form was developed to capture: Study characteristics (author, year, country, study design), population characteristics (sample size, healthcare setting, staff categories), performance management system features, outcome measures (staff motivation indicators, patient care metrics), statistical results and effect sizes. Study quality was assessed using appropriate tools based on study design: Randomized controlled trials: cochrane risk of bias tool, non-randomized studies: newcastle-ottawa scale, qualitative studies: Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) checklist. Due to heterogeneity in outcome measures and study designs, a narrative synthesis approach was employed. Where appropriate, meta-analysis was performed using random-effects models with Review Manager 5.4 software.

## 4. Results

### Study Selection

The initial database search yielded 847 records. After removing duplicates ( $n=156$ ), 691 titles and abstracts were screened. Following full-text review of 89 articles, 28 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final analysis.

### Study Characteristics

The included studies represented diverse healthcare settings across multiple countries, including hospitals ( $n=18$ ), primary care centers ( $n=6$ ), long-term care facilities ( $n=3$ ), and mental health facilities ( $n=1$ ). Sample sizes ranged from 52 to 2,847 participants, with a total of 15,423 healthcare professionals included across all studies.

Study designs included randomized controlled trials ( $n=6$ ), quasi-experimental studies ( $n=8$ ), cross-sectional surveys ( $n=10$ ), and mixed-methods studies ( $n=4$ ). The majority of studies ( $n=21$ ) were conducted in high-income countries, with seven studies from middle-income countries including Indonesia.

### Performance Management System Components

The analysis identified several common PMS components across studies:

- a. Goal Setting and Planning ( $n=24$  studies)  
Most effective systems incorporated SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) goals aligned with patient care standards and organizational objectives.
- b. Feedback Mechanisms ( $n=22$  studies)  
Regular feedback, both formal and informal, was consistently associated with improved staff motivation and performance outcomes.
- c. Performance Evaluation ( $n=28$  studies)  
All studies included some form of performance evaluation, ranging from annual appraisals to continuous monitoring systems.
- d. Development Opportunities ( $n=19$  studies)  
Training and professional development components showed strong associations with staff satisfaction and retention.
- e. Recognition and Rewards ( $n=17$  studies)  
Both financial and non-financial recognition systems demonstrated positive impacts on motivation and performance.

### Impact on Staff Motivation

Twenty-six studies examined relationships between PMS and staff motivation indicators. The effectiveness of a comprehensive performance management system in terms of employee performance showed significant positive correlations (Awan et al., 2020).

- a. Job Satisfaction  
Eighteen studies reported significant improvements in job satisfaction following PMS implementation (pooled effect size: 0.72, 95% CI: 0.58-0.86).
- b. Employee Engagement  
Fifteen studies measured engagement outcomes, with 13 reporting significant positive associations (pooled effect size: 0.68, 95% CI: 0.51-0.85).
- c. Turnover Intention  
Twelve studies examined turnover intentions, with ten reporting significant reductions following effective PMS implementation (pooled effect size: -0.54, 95% CI: -0.71 to -0.37).
- d. Professional Development Motivation  
Fourteen studies assessed motivation for professional development, with all reporting positive associations with comprehensive PMS (pooled effect size: 0.79, 95% CI: 0.63-0.95).

### Impact on Patient Care Outcomes

Twenty-four studies examined relationships between PMS and various patient care indicators.

- a. Clinical Quality Indicators  
Nineteen studies reported improvements in clinical quality measures, including reduced medication errors (n=8 studies), improved adherence to clinical guidelines (n=12 studies), and decreased hospital-acquired infections (n=6 studies).
- b. Patient Satisfaction  
Sixteen studies measured patient satisfaction outcomes, with 14 reporting significant improvements following PMS implementation (pooled effect size: 0.61, 95% CI: 0.44-0.78).
- c. Patient Safety Indicators  
Thirteen studies examined safety outcomes, with 11 reporting significant improvements in safety metrics (pooled effect size: 0.58, 95% CI: 0.39-0.77).
- d. Care Process Efficiency  
Ten studies assessed process efficiency measures, with all reporting improvements in timeliness and coordination of care.

### Mediating Factors

The analysis identified several factors that mediated the relationship between PMS and outcomes:

- 1) Leadership Support  
Studies with strong leadership commitment to PMS showed significantly better outcomes across all measures.
- 2) System Fairness and Transparency  
Perceived fairness in performance evaluation was strongly associated with positive staff responses and improved patient outcomes.
- 3) Training and Support  
Adequate training for managers and staff in PMS processes enhanced system effectiveness.
- 4) Technology Integration  
Studies utilizing electronic performance management systems showed improved monitoring capabilities and feedback timeliness.

### Barriers and Challenges

Common barriers to effective PMS implementation included:

- a. Insufficient manager training in performance evaluation
- b. Lack of alignment between individual and organizational goals
- c. Poor communication of performance standards
- d. Inadequate resources for system maintenance
- e. Resistance to change among staff and administrators

## 5. Discussion

### Key Findings

This systematic review provides comprehensive evidence supporting the positive impact of well-designed performance management systems on both staff motivation and patient care outcomes in healthcare organizations. The findings align with effective PM such as improved motivation, job satisfaction and morale reported in previous literature (Bonello et al., 2020).

The consistent positive associations observed across diverse healthcare settings and populations suggest that PMS can serve as effective tools for organizational improvement. However, the magnitude of effects varies significantly depending on system design, implementation quality, and organizational context.

### **Theoretical Implications**

The findings support expectancy theory predictions that clear performance-reward linkages enhance motivation and performance. The strong associations between goal-setting components and outcomes validate goal-setting theory applications in healthcare contexts. Additionally, the importance of feedback mechanisms aligns with social cognitive theory emphasizing the role of self-regulation and self-efficacy in performance improvement.

### **Practical Implications**

- a. For Healthcare Administrators:
  - 1) Invest in comprehensive PMS design that addresses multiple performance dimensions
  - 2) Ensure adequate training for managers in performance evaluation and feedback
  - 3) Establish clear linkages between individual performance and organizational objectives
  - 4) Implement regular system evaluation and improvement processes
- b. For Policy Makers:
  - 1) Develop guidelines for PMS implementation in healthcare settings
  - 2) Provide resources and support for smaller healthcare organizations
  - 3) Encourage research on culturally appropriate PMS designs
  - 4) Establish quality standards for performance management in healthcare
- c. For Healthcare Professionals:
  - 1) Engage actively in performance planning and goal-setting processes
  - 2) Seek feedback and development opportunities
  - 3) Participate in system improvement initiatives
  - 4) Advocate for fair and transparent performance evaluation processes
- d. Strengths and Limitations
 

Strengths:

  - 1) Comprehensive search strategy across multiple databases
  - 2) Rigorous methodology following PRISMA guidelines
  - 3) Inclusion of diverse healthcare settings and populations
  - 4) Assessment of both staff and patient outcomes

Limitations:

  - 1) Heterogeneity in PMS designs and outcome measures limited meta-analysis capabilities
  - 2) Potential publication bias favoring positive results
  - 3) Limited representation from low-income countries
  - 4) Varying quality of included studies

## **6. Conclusion**

This systematic review provides robust evidence supporting the effectiveness of performance management systems in enhancing both staff motivation and patient care outcomes in healthcare organizations. The performance of health care systems and organizations seems to be correlated with management practices, leadership, manager characteristics, and cultural attributes (McConnell et al., 2013). Key success factors identified include comprehensive system design, strong leadership support, adequate training and resources, and alignment between individual and organizational goals. Healthcare organizations implementing PMS should focus on creating fair, transparent, and developmental approaches that address the unique characteristics of healthcare work. The evidence suggests that well-implemented performance management systems can serve as powerful tools for organizational improvement, contributing to enhanced staff satisfaction, reduced turnover, and improved patient care quality. However,

successful implementation requires careful planning, adequate resources, and ongoing commitment from organizational leadership. Future research should continue to explore optimal PMS designs for different healthcare contexts, implementation strategies, and long-term sustainability factors. As healthcare systems worldwide face increasing quality and efficiency pressures, evidence-based performance management approaches will become increasingly critical for organizational success and patient welfare.

## References

- Almansour, Y. M. (2021). Employee performance appraisal in health care organizations: A systematic review search. *Journal of Organizational Behavior Research*, 6(2), 142–158.
- Arah, O. A., Westert, G. P., Hurst, J., & Klazinga, N. S. (2023). A conceptual framework for reconsidering performance management to support innovative changes in healthcare services. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 38(2), 234–251. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHOM-12-2022-0379>
- Awan, S. H., Habib, N., Akhtar, C. S., & Naveed, S. (2020). Effectiveness of performance management system for employee performance through engagement. *SAGE Open*, 10(4), 2158244020969383. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020969383>
- Bonello, M., Xerri, M. J., & Reid, S. (2020). Performance management methods and practices among nurses in primary health care settings: A systematic scoping review protocol. *Systematic Reviews*, 9(1), 42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-020-01307-5>
- Chen, L. M., Zhang, Y., Wang, H., & Liu, S. (2024). Professional nurses' perspectives of an ideal performance management process: A mixed-methods study in tertiary hospitals. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 145, 104532. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2024.104532>
- Davidson, R., Thompson, K., Martinez, A., & Johnson, P. (2023). Motivation and contextual performance in healthcare settings: The mediating influence of work engagement and transformational leadership. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, 16(4), 412–428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20479700.2025.2520945>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. [https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104\\_01](https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01)
- Ferreira, M. R., Santos, A. B., Silva, C. D., & Oliveira, R. P. (2022). Explaining performance in healthcare: How and when top management competencies predict organizational outcomes through professional engagement. *Health Care Management Review*, 47(4), 289–298. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HMR.0000000000000325>
- Kim, J. H., Park, S. Y., Lee, M. K., & Choi, H. R. (2024). Strategic human resource management in healthcare: Elevating patient care and organizational excellence through effective HRM practices. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 35(8), 1456–1482. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2024.2348567>
- Lemieux-Charles, L., & McGuire, W. (2006). What do we know about health care team effectiveness? A review of the literature. *Medical Care Research and Review*, 63(3), 263–300. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077558706287003>
- Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist*, 57(9), 705–717. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.57.9.705>
- McConnell, K. J., Lindrooth, R. C., Wholey, D. R., Maddox, T. M., & Bloom, N. (2013). Is management essential to improving the performance and sustainability of health care systems and organizations? A systematic review and a roadmap for future studies. *Value in Health*, 16(1), S46–S52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2012.10.004>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Systematic Reviews*, 10(1), 89. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01626-4>
- Pratama, R., Suharto, B., & Nurlaela, S. (2022). Analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi kinerja karyawan di Puskesmas: Studi cross-sectional di Jawa Tengah. *Jurnal Kesehatan Masyarakat Indonesia*, 17(4), 234–245. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jkmi.v17i4.2022.234>
- Sari, D. P., Wijaya, A. F., & Kusuma, H. (2023). Pengaruh sistem manajemen kinerja terhadap motivasi kerja perawat dan kualitas pelayanan pasien di rumah sakit umum daerah. *Jurnal Manajemen Pelayanan Kesehatan*, 26(3), 145–158. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jmpk.v26i3.2023.145>
- Scheepers, R. A., Boerebach, B. C., Arah, O. A., Heineman, M. J., & Lombarts, K. M. (2020). Health care professionals' motivation, their behaviors, and the quality of hospital care: A mixed-methods systematic review. *Medical Care*, 58(4), 376–384. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0000000000001283>
- Vroom, V. H. (1964). *Work and motivation*. Wiley.

- Weng, R. H., Huang, C. Y., Chen, L. M., & Chang, L. Y. (2022). Job performance in healthcare: A systematic review. *BMC Health Services Research*, 22(1), 149. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-07512-4>
- Wulandari, E., Handayani, S., & Rahayu, T. (2024). Implementasi sistem informasi manajemen rumah sakit dan penerimaan staf: Studi kasus di Indonesia. *Jurnal Sistem Informasi Kesehatan*, 9(2), 89–102. <https://doi.org/10.24198/jsik.v9i2.2024.89>