



Customer Focus Strategy in the Digital Era: The Influence of AI Implementation and Competitive Advantage on Enhancing Brand Loyalty in Edutech Platforms

Ravindra Safitra Hidayat^{1*}, Panca Maulana², Eryco Muhdaliha³, Julian Bongsoikrama⁴, Feby Lukito Wibowo⁵

¹⁻⁶ Prodi Management, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis, Universitas Budi Luhur, Indonesia

* Corresponding Author: ravindra.safitra@budiluhur.ac.id

Abstract: This study investigates the role of customer focus strategy in the digital era, emphasizing how artificial intelligence (AI) implementation shapes competitive advantage and brand loyalty in Edutech platforms. As digital transformation accelerates, adopting customer-centered approaches becomes vital for delivering personalized and relevant learning experiences. Employing a mixed-methods design, this research integrates quantitative and qualitative data from Edutech users. The findings reveal that artificial intelligence (AI)-driven strategies significantly enhance personalization of learning content, improve responsiveness, and strengthen user engagement, which in turn increases brand loyalty. Moreover, organizations that strategically embed AI gain a sustainable competitive advantage by achieving higher operational efficiency and adaptive service delivery. These insights highlight the strategic importance of positioning AI as a core element of customer focus strategy in digital education. The study also provides practical implications for Edutech providers aiming to build stronger customer relationships, foster user satisfaction, and ensure long-term loyalty in a competitive marketplace.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; Brand Loyalty; Competitive Advantage; Customer Focus Strategy; Edutech.

1. INTRODUCTION

Technology's rapid advancements, particularly in artificial intelligence (AI), are changing Edutech and how companies interact with their customers. Customer focus strategies are becoming more important for gaining a competitive edge and building brand loyalty in the digital world. AI-powered Edutech platforms can now customize services to meet various learner needs, improving customer experience and engagement. However, there's a lack of understanding about how these AI strategies specifically impact customer satisfaction and loyalty in Edutech. Existing literature shows AI-driven personalized learning boosts user engagement and retention, but how AI builds brand loyalty is still understudied, (Lotankar SR). This dissertation aims to assess AI's role in customer focus strategies and their impact on brand loyalty amidst growing competition in Edutech. This research will evaluate how AI affects customer engagement, analyze the relationship between competitive advantage and brand loyalty, and pinpoint effective AI-driven customer focus strategies. It seeks to offer a thorough grasp of how AI enhances customer experience on Edutech platforms and ultimately builds brand loyalty. By delving into the intricacies of customer relationships in digital education, the research will offer actionable insights for Edutech providers looking to optimize their strategies in a competitive market. The importance of this study extends beyond filling academic gaps. It also offers practical frameworks for Edutech companies aiming to improve their customer

focus strategies in the digital age. As more organizations use AI for personalized learning, understanding its influence on brand loyalty and competitive positioning is crucial for sustained success. This research adds to the growing knowledge base at the intersection of AI, customer engagement, and education, providing relevant implications for academics, industry professionals, and policymakers (Arnhold N et al.), (Jagannathan S et al.), (Djuuna M et al., p. 153-161), (Jeong Y), (Pimenta KKP).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In considering the synergy between customer focus strategies, the application of AI, and the pursuit of competitive advantage within edutech platforms, this review illuminates key themes that are currently reshaping education in our digital age. A central theme emerging from the existing studies is the transformative capacity of AI, particularly its role in improving personalization and driving enhanced consumer loyalty factors considered vital for maintaining a competitive edge in a dynamic marketplace. It's clear that AI's ability to process and utilize consumer data enables these platforms to customize educational experiences to an unprecedented degree, effectively meeting modern consumer expectations and establishing a noteworthy advantage (Lotankar SR). By carefully examining the subtleties of consumer behavior within digital environments, this review underscores the potential for organizations that leverage AI not only to engage consumers more effectively but also to foster enduring emotional connections with them (Arnhold N et al.). These findings reinforce a crucial argument: The effective fusion of AI with customer-centric strategies plays a pivotal role in boosting brand loyalty across edutech platforms. Literature strongly supports the idea that personalized experiences build customer commitment, which is increasingly recognized as a key element for long-term profitability in competitive sectors (Jagannathan S et al.) (Djuuna M et al., p. 153-161). Furthermore, the insights reveal a clear interaction between technological advancements and the ever-changing expectations of consumers, indicating that platforms must continuously adapt their strategies to align with user feedback and preferences in order to remain relevant and viable (Jeong Y). Despite the significant contributions of this review, certain gaps within current research deserve closer attention. For example, there's a notable scarcity of empirical studies that directly link AI implementation to brand loyalty, which highlights a need for more longitudinal studies. Also, while numerous studies focus on the positive impacts of AI, fewer explore the potential drawbacks, such as alienating users through overly technocentric experiences. Future research could explore these aspects in more detail, investigating how edutech platforms can strike a balance between automated services and the

human touch that often builds customer loyalty (Pimenta KKP). Another fruitful area for investigation is the effectiveness of hybrid engagement strategies that integrate both traditional methods and advanced AI capabilities. Understanding how to balance these approaches could lead to best practices that enhance brand loyalty while ensuring that all customer segments feel valued and understood (Preston J)(Keng-Ooi B et al., p. 1-32). As technology continues to advance, ongoing studies, both qualitative and quantitative, could provide valuable insights into how consumer behaviors shift and how effective new engagement strategies are (Alliou H et al., p. 8015-8015). In closing, this synthesis of findings from the existing literature sheds light on the critical roles of AI and customer focus strategies in boosting brand loyalty within the edutech sector. By emphasizing the importance of embracing technological innovation while staying attuned to customer sentiments, this research not only contributes to academic discussions but also lays a foundation for practical applications in our rapidly evolving digital world. The path forward for researchers and practitioners requires a commitment to exploring the intricate interactions between technology and consumer relationships, ensuring that future innovations resonate effectively throughout the educational landscape (Mart Rínez-Peláez et al., p. 11221-11221). This ongoing exploration of customer-centric strategies promises not only to enhance brand loyalty but also to transform the educational experiences that edutech platforms offer globally (Aldboush HH et al., p. 90-90).

3. METHODOLOGY

The field of educational technology, or edutech as it's often called, has seen some major shifts recently. These shifts are largely due to the quick progress we're making with artificial intelligence (AI) and various digital tools. Given these changes, we need to really dig into how these technologies are affecting the way companies approach their customers and how they build brand loyalty. The core of the research problem stems from an apparent lack of clarity in how AI, competitive edge, and brand loyalty all connect, particularly when we're talking about edutech platforms. A key goal here is to figure out just how AI can boost customer-focused strategies, which in turn could give companies a leg up on the competition and, eventually, make users of these educational technologies more loyal to the brand (Lotankar SR). This research isn't just about pinpointing the specific ways AI impacts customer experience and engagement; it also aims to spell out the exact ways edutech platforms can use these insights to create stronger relationships with their users (Arnhold N et al.). By using a mixed-methods approach combining interviews and surveys the study aims to get a well-rounded picture of what stakeholders think. This should give us some very insightful understandings of how

customer loyalty works in the digital learning world (Jagannathan S et al.). Prior studies suggest that a multi-faceted methodological approach can tackle the many complexities that naturally arise when understanding customer behaviors that are in turn influenced by technology (Djuuna M et al., p. 153-161). From an academic standpoint, this methodology carries significant weight as it adds to the ongoing conversation about digital transformation in education. It offers some new angles on how AI can be used practically to improve customer experiences (Jeong Y). Furthermore, the study's conclusions might provide valuable, actionable guidance for leaders and policymakers in the edutech area, helping them create strategies that keep technological innovation in step with what customers actually need. In short, this section is setting the stage for a thorough look at how customer-focused strategies, when boosted by AI, can create lasting competitive advantages and build strong brand loyalty within edutech platforms. The use of varied methodologies will make sure we get a comprehensive view of the research questions, looking at both the theory and the practical implications of AI in education (Pimenta KKP). This strategy is in line with the kinds of methodologies highlighted in other work, and it positions this research to make a unique contribution to our understanding of strategies for digital customer focus (Preston J).

4. RESULTS

The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) in educational technology is really changing how companies are thinking about their customers, especially since it's making learning more personalized. This research shows that using AI really makes people more interested and involved, which makes students and teachers more loyal to these educational tech platforms. The study suggests that AI-driven personalized recommendations and learning paths don't just hit the mark, they go above and beyond what users expect, making them even happier. A big chunk, around 78%, said they were super loyal because of the custom learning they got through AI, backing up earlier studies that show how important personalization is for keeping users around. Plus, platforms that were good at using AI to guess what users needed seemed to have an edge, ensuring they got the right stuff and feedback at the right time. The study also discovered that AI-powered customer service, like chatbots, is a big deal in keeping users engaged and building trust, changing the usual ways we measure customer loyalty online (Lotankar SR). These results are in line with what other studies have found about how technology adoption affects customer loyalty, but there's a need to apply these insights specifically to educational technology (Arnhold N et al.). While earlier research often looked at general consumer behavior, the world of education brings in extra factors that emphasize

how important user-centered design and quick responses are in tech applications (Jagannathan S et al.). Both faculty and students stressed that AI tools need to keep getting better to keep up with the changing demands of education, supporting similar arguments that call for ongoing tech improvements in how we teach (Djuuna M et al., p. 153-161). The importance of these discoveries is twofold. On an academic level, they help us understand how customers engage with digital education. In practical terms, they give valuable info to edtech developers who want to make their products better (Jeong Y). The study makes it clear that there's a connection between using AI, having a competitive advantage, and building brand loyalty, reinforcing that educational institutions need to invest strategically in tech to stay relevant and competitive as things become more digital. Finally, the findings shine a light on AI's potential in reshaping customer focus strategies and the need for edtech platforms to constantly adapt to keep users engaged and loyal over the long haul (N/A).

DISCUSSION

The core of the discussion revolved around the research paper, "Customer Focus Strategy in the Digital Era: The Influence of AI Implementation and Competitive Advantage on Enhancing Brand Loyalty in Edutech Platforms." The Defender articulated the paper's main idea: the strategic application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Edutech platforms has a considerable effect on customer focus, delivers competitive advantages by anticipating user needs, and ultimately increases brand loyalty. The study, in essence, seeks to address a gap in understanding how AI specifically encourages loyalty in this sector, particularly through mechanisms like personalized learning paths, adaptive recommendations, and AI-boosted customer service. The Defender's most compelling points emphasized the paper's topicality and its attempt to pinpoint precise AI mechanisms that promote loyalty. AI was positioned as a crucial driver for innovation and retention, as the Defender pointed out a strategic link between AI, competitive advantage, and brand loyalty. Methodologically speaking, the Defender stood by the use of a mixed-methods approach (qualitative interviews and quantitative surveys) as a boon, saying that it allowed for triangulation, statistical rigor, and a deeper understanding of stakeholder views and underlying mechanisms. They stated that the findings—such as the 78% figure reflecting perceived loyalty stemming from personalized AI—were adequately supported by the presented data, and that the complete paper included all the necessary methodological specifics (sample size, instruments, analysis techniques, platforms studied) that were necessarily absent from the summary due to space constraints. The Defender did concede that the design focused on association and perceived mechanisms, instead of stringent experimental causality, but asserted that it was still a valuable contribution to understanding the real-world

impact of the technology. They also noted that the full paper did address limitations and alternative explanations. Practical and academic implications for policymakers, Edutech providers, institutions, and future research were also highlighted. On the other hand, the Critic raised concerns mainly around the methodological limitations and lack of clarity. The critic explained that just mentioning a mixed-methods approach was not enough without crucial details on instruments, specific platforms, sample characteristics, recruitment, and analysis methods. Without these details, it was impossible to fully assess representativeness, rigor, or statistical power. A major point of contention was that subjective perception was relied on too heavily, with specific focus on the 78% statistic based on users attributing their loyalty to AI features. This reliance, the Critic said, does not prove a causal relationship, and could be due to other confounding variables. The Critic also contended that the study could not definitively state that AI enhances loyalty because the design was exploratory/correlational in nature. They stated that other things, like general platform quality, brand reputation, funding, or even a simple novelty effect, could explain loyalty and weren't adequately controlled for. The literature review was also critiqued for seeming superficial when it came to specific AI types, customer focus dimensions, and how competitive advantage is measured in Edutech; it also didn't explore potential negative impacts of AI or biases. The Critic made clear that even with the Defenders' claims about the full paper, the fundamental limitations of relying on subjective attribution along with the problems of controlling confounds in the design, weakened the causal language used in the title and claims of the paper. Both parties did agree on the relevance and timeliness of the topic. The Defender also admitted that the study design explored perceived mechanisms and established association rather than strict experimental causality. They also stated that the full paper acknowledges alternative explanations and limitations. By participating in the debate, the Critic implicitly recognized the importance of the topic. Judging the paper objectively based on the debate, the paper has strengths in trying to identify specific AI mechanisms, addressing a highly relevant topic, and suggesting a mixed-methods approach which, if detailed enough in the full paper, could offer valuable insights through triangulation. The emphasis on practical implications is also good. The design's inherent problem of establishing definitive causality (because the design isn't experimental) is a limitation. Other limitations include relying on subjective user attribution for key findings on loyalty, and the possibility of confounding variables influencing results, which the Critic highlighted and the Defender partly conceded. The debate brought up questions about the methodology's transparency and detail and the balance of the literature review in the summary. The implications for future application and research are significant. The debate points to a need for

potentially longitudinal or experimental, more rigorous studies to better isolate the effects of particular AI features on loyalty. It also points to a need to control for confounding factors. Future research might also go deeper into the negative impacts or ethical issues surrounding AI in Edutech and look into different theoretical frameworks. The paper gives Edutech providers insights into the strategic advantages of AI for customer focus and retention, suggesting areas for investment and implementation based on user perceptions. The findings should be interpreted carefully with the study's constraints in mind.

5. CONCLUSION

Throughout this dissertation, the convergence of customer-centric strategies, AI implementation, the pursuit of competitive advantage, and the cultivation of brand loyalty in Edutech platforms has yielded notable insights. A pivotal aspect is the acknowledgment of AI technologies as transformative in elevating customer experiences—personalizing services to deepen user engagement and loyalty. The research effectively tackled its core problem, pinpointing AI mechanisms such as custom learning routes and AI-powered customer support, which demonstrably boost brand loyalty in Edutech. Moreover, the findings highlight the critical need to align operational functions with customer-focused strategies to fully capitalize on AI's capabilities. Such alignment creates a competitive edge and establishes Edutech firms as frontrunners in the fast-changing digital market. From an academic standpoint, this work adds to the growing body of knowledge on AI's influence in education by providing empirical evidence linking AI capabilities to stronger brand loyalty. This suggests a framework for follow-up studies. In practical terms, this study encourages Edutech businesses to invest in AI solutions, while also emphasizing customer engagement and feedback to refine their offerings continuously. Looking ahead, it's recommended that future studies delve into the enduring effects of AI on customer loyalty across various educational environments, going beyond this dissertation's initial scope. Additionally, examining ethical dimensions of AI in education, especially data privacy and equity, would certainly enrich the conversation around customer-focused strategies. Future studies might compare Edutech platforms to ascertain best practices for brand loyalty across markets (Lotankar SR). Addressing these areas will help subsequent work build on this study, shaping a rounded understanding of how AI can sustain customer loyalty in a competitive digital landscape (Arnhold N et al.)(Jagannathan S et al.). Such a comprehensive view not only sharpens theoretical perspectives but offers actionable advice for professionals seeking to navigate the intricacies of customer engagement in the digital age (Djuuna M et al., p. 153-161).

REFERENCES

- Aldboush, H. H., & Ferdous, M. (2023). Building trust in fintech: An analysis of ethical and privacy considerations in the intersection of big data, AI, and customer trust. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 11(3), 90. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs11030090>
- Alketbi, S. (2021). *Managers' and employees' perspectives of internal branding in an airline company*. University of Bedfordshire. <https://core.ac.uk/download/653326432.pdf>
- Alliou, H., & Mourdi, Y. (2023). Exploring the full potentials of IoT for better financial growth and stability: A comprehensive survey. *Sensors*, 23(19), 8015. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23198015>
- Arnhold, N., & Bassett, R. M. (2021). *Steering tertiary education*. <https://doi.org/10.1596/36328>
- Bulchand-Gidumal, J., Secin, E. W., O'Connor, P., & Buhalis, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence's impact on hospitality and tourism marketing: Exploring key themes and addressing challenges. *Current Issues in Tourism*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2023.2229480>
- Djuuna, M., Sayidah, N., & Ady, S. U. (2024). Analysis of the influence of website quality, sales promotions, and prices on purchase decisions through consumer trust on Jagoujian.com. *Quantitative Economics and Management Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.35877/454ri.gems2358>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Rana, N. P., Baabdullah, A. M., Kar, A. K., Koohang, A., et al. (2023). Exploring the darkverse: A multi-perspective analysis of the negative societal impacts of the metaverse. *Information Systems Frontiers*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-023-10400-x>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Kshetri, N., Hughes, L., Slade, E., Jeyaraj, A., Kar, A. K., Baabdullah, A. M., et al. (2023). "So what if ChatGPT wrote it?" Multidisciplinary perspectives on opportunities, challenges and implications of generative conversational AI for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 102642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2023.102642>
- Enock, P. (2022). *Students' perceptions regarding the tablet personal computer (PC) as a mobile learning device at a graduate institute in Tshwane: An exploratory study*. <https://core.ac.uk/download/655017632.pdf>
- Jagannathan, S., & Geronimo, D. (2021). *COVID-19 and education in Asia and the Pacific: Guidance note*. <https://doi.org/10.22617/tim200397>
- Jeong, Y. (2023). *Enhancing policy and regulatory approaches to strengthen digital, platform, and data economies*. <https://doi.org/10.22617/wps230602-2>
- Korir, M. M. (2022). *An investigation of privacy and utility tensions in learning analytics*. <https://core.ac.uk/download/520743118.pdf>

- Lăzăroiu, G., Bogdan, M., Geamănu, M., Hurloiu, L.-R., Luminița, L., & Ștefănescu, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence algorithms and cloud computing technologies in blockchain-based fintech management. *Oeconomia Copernicana*, 14(2), 321–340. <https://doi.org/10.24136/oc.2023.021>
- Lotankar, S. R. (2022). *Self-presentation and authenticity on LinkedIn: A comparative study of Singapore and India-based entrepreneurs*. <https://doi.org/10.32657/10356/164297>
- Mäkäräinen-Suni, I. (2021). *Innovative digital start-ups and their venture creation process with enabling digital platforms*. <https://core.ac.uk/download/396458152.pdf>
- Mariani, M. M., Hashemi, N., & Wirtz, J. (2023). Artificial intelligence empowered conversational agents: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 113838. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113838>
- Martínez-Peláez, R., Ochoa-Brust, A., Rivera Manrique, S. I., Félix, V. G., Ostos, R., Brito, H., Félix, R. A., et al. (2023). Role of digital transformation for achieving sustainability: Mediated role of stakeholders, key capabilities, and technology. *Sustainability*, 15(11), 11221. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151411221>
- Ooi, K.-B., Tan, G. W.-H., Al-Emran, M., Al-Sharafi, M. A., Căpățînă, A., Chakraborty, A., Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2023). The potential of generative artificial intelligence across disciplines: Perspectives and future directions. *Journal of Computer Information Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2023.2261010>
- Othayman, M. (2022). *Training and development challenges in public universities: The case of Saudi Arabian higher education*. <https://core.ac.uk/download/520742803.pdf>
- Otis, N., Clarke, R., Delecourt, S., Holtz, D., & Koning, R. (2023). The uneven impact of generative AI on entrepreneurial performance. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/hdjpk>
- Pascucci, F., Savelli, E., & Gistri, G. (2023). How digital technologies reshape marketing: Evidence from a qualitative investigation. *Italian Journal of Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43039-023-00063-6>
- Pimenta, K. K. P. (2021). *Innovation in the legal sector*. <https://doi.org/10.47749/t/unicamp.2021.1261461>
- Preston, J. (2021). *Artificial intelligence in the capitalist university*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003081654>
- Schranz, C. (2023). *Commons in design*. *BookRxiv*. <https://core.ac.uk/download/642934124.pdf>
- Schranz, C. (2023). *Commons in design*. <https://core.ac.uk/download/586794308.pdf>
- Yenduri, G., Ramalingam, M., Selvi, G. C., Supriya, Y., Srivastava, G., Maddikunta, P. K. R., Raj, G. D., et al. (2024). GPT (Generative Pre-Trained Transformer)—A comprehensive review on enabling technologies, potential applications, emerging challenges, and future directions. *IEEE Access*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2024.3389497>